

Raj Rani vs.uoi and Ors

Raj Rani vs.uoi and Ors

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1205820

Court : Delhi

Decided On : May-08-2017

Appellant : Raj Rani

Respondent : Uoi and Ors

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. Vinod Sehrawat, Mr. Vivek Goyal, Mr. Pradeep Verma

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI RAJ RANI \$~17 * + W.P.(C)
7726/2011 UOI AND ORS versus Through: Mr. Vinod Sehrawat, Advocate.

... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for UOI. Mr. Pradeep Verma, Advocate for R-
3.

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE
SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL %

ORDER

0805.2017 1. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 states that the petitioner has expired as long back as in November, 2014 but no steps have been taken by her legal heirs till date to seek substitution in the present petition.

2. A perusal of the paper book and the order sheets effective from 16.10.2014 shows that in all this while, learned counsel for the petitioner herein has not taken any steps to bring on record the legal heirs of the deceased petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he did file an application to bring on record the legal heirs of the deceased petitioner, but he is neither in a position to furnish the date on which he had moved such an application, nor is the date on which he claimed that he had furnished a copy to the other side, available with him. We have requested him to hand over his own copy WP(C) 7726/2011 Page 1 of 2 of the application, but on rummaging through his file, he submits that it is not available.

4. In such circumstances, we have no option but to dismiss the present petition, the same having abated on the demise of the petitioner. Ordered accordingly.
MAY08 2017 ap/mk HIMA KOHLI, J SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J WP(C)
7726/2011 Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com