

Shabnam vs.usman Ali and Anr

Shabnam vs.usman Ali and Anr

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1204969

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Mar-20-2017

Appellant : Shabnam

Respondent : Usman Ali and Anr

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. P. Suresh

Judgement :

\$~5. * + 1. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI RFA1432017 and CM APPL. 5216/2017, 5218/2017 SHABNAM Through: Mr. P. Suresh, Advocate Appellant versus USMAN ALI AND ANR Through: None

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI % The appellant/plaintiff is aggrieved by the order dated 24.09.2016

ORDER

2003.2017 passed by the learned trial court rejecting her suit for partition and permanent injunction instituted against her siblings, on an application filed by the respondents/defendants under Order VII Rule 11 CPC.

2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant had sought an adjournment on the ground that he was not prepared with the brief. Today, he states on instructions that the appellant does not wish to press the present appeal on merits and requests that only a limited notice may be issued to the respondents

to enable the parties, who are siblings, to sit across the table and negotiate a settlement, if possible.

3. While disposing of the present appeal alongwith the pending applications, on the appellant filing the process fee within one week, by ordinary process and speed post, limited notice is issued to the respondents, RFA1432017 Page 1 of 2 calling upon them to state if they would be ready and willing to mediate their dispute with the appellant/plaintiff, returnable on 14.07.2017. MARCH20 2017 rkb HIMA KOHLI, J RFA1432017 Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com