

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1191635

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Apr-06-2016

Judge : B. Rajendran

Appeal No. : W.P(MD)No. 5404 of 2016 & WMP(MD)No. 4844 of 2016

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

B. Rajendran, J.

1. Today, this matter has come up for hearing under the caption "For Clarification" at the instance of the respondents.
2. The only clarification sought by the respondents was pursuant to the order of stay passed by this Court on 17.03.2016, based on which, the petitioner issued a contempt notice seeking for stoppage of construction. Whereas, the petitioner clearly stated that he has not sought for any stoppage of construction as the order of stay does not pertain to any construction. The above statement is recorded.
3. Accordingly, the matter stands clarified.