

S. Senthil Kumar Vs. The Superintendent of Police, Office K. Pudur, Madurai District and Another

S. Senthil Kumar Vs. The Superintendent of Police, Office K. Pudur, Madurai District and Another

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1190608

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Jun-10-2016

Judge : The Honourable Dr.(Mrs.) Justice S. Vimala

Appeal No. : Crl.O.P.(MD).No. 8843 of 2016

Appellant : S. Senthil Kumar

Respondent : The Superintendent of Police, Office K. Pudur, Madurai District and Another

Judgement :

(Prayer:Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to direct the first respondent to appoint a competent Police Officer, other than the 2nd respondent, to investigate the case in Crime No.67 of 2016 and to file a final report before the competent Court within a stipulated time.)

1. It is an application seeking a direction to the first respondent to appoint a competent Police Officer, other than the 2nd respondent, to investigate the case in Crime No.67 of 2016 and to file a final report before the competent Court within a stipulated time.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent Police hand in glove with the accused threatened the petitioner to withdraw the complaint and that he is not taking any efforts to investigate the matter and to file a final report. Hence, the petitioner has come up with the aforesaid prayer.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would vehemently oppose the above submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, however, on instructions, he would submit that the second respondent has completed major part of the investigation and he requires only two months time to complete the further investigation and to file a final report in Crime No.67 of 2016.

5. Having regard to the facts that major part of the investigation is over, learned counsel seeks at least time frame to be fixed to file the final report / charge sheet before the concerned Court.

6. The petitioner has raised only bald allegations against the second respondent. The petitioner has not produced any materials in order to substantiate the same.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, a direction is issued to the second respondent to complete the investigation and file a final report in Crime No.67 of 2016 before the Court concerned within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. This Criminal Original Petition is accordingly disposed of.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com