

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1190275

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Jun-23-2016

Judge : T. Raja

Appeal No. : W.P(MD).No. 11132 of 2016 & W.M.P.(MD).No. 8549 of 2016

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

T. Raja,J.

1. The interesting prayer made in this Writ Petition is that when the petitioner, namely, Sri Amarvathi Spinning Mills made an application to the respondents to reduce his KVA due to recession in their production activity, the Superintending Engineer, Karur Electricity Distribution Circle, TANGEDCO, Karur, has refused to entertain their request citing an impracticable reason that a Writ Petition in W.P.(MD).No.8572 of 2011 is pending before this Court, whereas, the said Writ Petition was disposed of, in favour of the petitioner, as early as on 20.02.2016. The petitioner again renewed his request stating that their production has drastically come to an end due to various other reasons, yet, the request of the petitioner has not been considered forcing the petitioner to come before this Court.

2. Hence, notice through Court as well as privately returnable by 06.07.2016.

