

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1189982

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Jul-06-2016

Judge : The Honourable Ms. Justice V.M. Velumani

Appeal No. : Crl.O.P.(MD).No. 10591 of 2016

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

1. The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 120(B), 420, 406, 294(b) and 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.75 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is running orphanages and old age homes at Kanyakumari District. The petitioner and other accused persons promised to get sanction from the Government and received a sum of Rs.14,50,000/-. But subsequently, they did not get any amount from the Government and swindled money received from the de-facto complainant. When the same was demanded by the de-facto complainant, they threatened with dire consequences and complaint case has been registered.

3. When the matter was listed on 29.06.2016, there was no representation for the petitioner and the matter adjourned today. Today also, there is no representation for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) submitted that the petitioner received a sum of Rs.14,50,000/- from the de-facto complainant and promised to get amount from the Government for conducting the orphanages and old age homes and the investigation of the case is pending to find out the involvement of the petitioner.

5. Considering the serious nature of allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com