

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1188609

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Aug-31-2016

Judge : T. Raja

Appeal No. : W.P (MD).No.15590 of 2016

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

T. Raja, J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that earlier when the petitioner filed W.P.No.4570 of 2006 for alternative employment, this Court, by an order dated 31.07.2006, directed the respondents not to assign seat work to the petitioner, due to his mental illness. Pursuant to the orders of this court, the petitioner was posted as Assistant in the Divisional Excise Office, Theni, which involves collection of money, however, by impugned order dated 10.08.2016, the petitioner has been transferred and posted at the office of the Special Tahsildar, SIBCOT Unit 3, Bodinayakkanur at Theni, which is having a full time desk work.

2. In view of the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, the learned Govt. Advocate is directed to get specific instruction whether the petitioner could be accommodated in a post which is having no desk work.

3. Post the matter on 06.09.2016.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com