

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1186200

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jan-03-2017

Judge : M. Venugopal

Appeal No. : Crl. M.P. No. 13834 of 2016 in Crl. A. No. 380 of 2016

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

1. Heard both sides.

2. According to the Petitioners/A1 and A2, they are abiding the condition imposed by this Court, in Crl.M.P.No.5789 of 2016 in Crl.A.No.380 of 2016 dated 06.07.2016, from 03.08.2016 till date.

3. The reason ascribed on behalf of the Petitioners is that by virtue of the condition imposed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.5789 of 2016 in Crl.A.No.380 of 2016 dated 06.07.2016, they are unable to attend their normal duties and they are put to hardship and sufferings. Further, they are not able to attend to their family affairs in a proper manner.

4. The fact that the Petitioners are abiding the condition imposed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.5789 of 2016 in Crl.A.No.380 of 2016 dated 06.07.2016 without any default, is not disputed on behalf of the Respondent/Prosecuting Agency.

5. Considering the fact that the Petitioners/A1 and A2 are abiding the condition imposed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.5789 of 2016 in Crl.A.No.380 of 2016 dated 06.07.2016 without any default [as seen from the Report of Learned Judicial Magistrate, Palacode i/c. In D.No.3789/2016, dated 30.12.2016 addressed to this Court], this Court relaxes the condition already imposed, by directing the Petitioners/A1 and A2 to appear before the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Palacode once in a month on the First Working day of every English calendar month at 11.00 a.m. without fail until further orders.

6. Accordingly, the Crl.M.P.No.13834 of 2016 is ordered, on above terms.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com