

**Petitioner Vs. Respondent**

**Petitioner Vs. Respondent**

**SooperKanoon Citation : [sooperkanoon.com/1186029](http://sooperkanoon.com/1186029)**

**Court : Chennai**

**Decided On : Jan-12-2017**

**Judge : M. Venugopal**

**Appeal No. : Crl. M.P. No. 607 of 2017 in Crl. A. Sr. No. 42353 of 2016**

**Appellant : Petitioner**

**Respondent : Respondent**

**Judgement :**

1. It appears that the Petitioner had paid the cost of Rs.1,500/-, admittedly, belatedly on 09.01.2017. On behalf of the Petitioner, the reason assigned for the belated payment is because of the fact that the Petitioner's wife is the only person to save her family and she is working as a servant maid in a house and therefore, the Petitioner could not pay the money in time.

2. On being subjectively satisfied as to the reason ascribed on behalf of the Petitioner/Appellant/Accused, this Court, by taking a lenient, liberal and pragmatic view, allows this Petition.

3. In fine, the Crl.M.P.No.607 of 2016 is allowed.