

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

Petitioner Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1185732

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Feb-17-2017

Judge : M. Venugopal & K. Kalyanasundaram

Appeal No. : M.P. Nos. 1 & 2 of 2015 in Rev.Apln.Nos. 44 & 45 of 2015

Appellant : Petitioner

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

1. Mr.R.Govindasamy, Learned Special Government Pleader informs this Court that he appears for R1 to R5. No counter is filed on behalf of R1 to R5.
2. Admittedly, Review Application Nos.44 and 45 of 2015 filed by the Petitioner were dismissed by this Court on 14.08.2015, since there was no representation on behalf of the Petitioner even after the Review Applications were called for the second time.
3. At this stage, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner brings it to the notice of this Court that when the Review Applications came up for hearing on 14.08.2015, he was unable to present with a view to prosecute the matter, because of the reason that he was held up before other Court.
4. This Court, on being subjectively satisfied as to the reason ascribed on behalf of the Petitioner, by taking a lenient and liberal view and to advance the cause of

Justice, without harping on technicalities, allows the M.P.Nos.1 and 1 of 2015 and directs the restoration of Review Application Nos.44 and 45 of 2015 to file.

5. Post the Review Applications on 06.03.2017 at 2.15 p.m.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com