

G. Ravanan Vs. The Director General of Police, Chennai and Others

G. Ravanan Vs. The Director General of Police, Chennai and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1178725

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Nov-25-2015

Judge : D. Hariparanthaman

Appeal No. : W.P (MD) No. 20928 of 2015

Appellant : G. Ravanan

Respondent : The Director General of Police, Chennai and Others

Judgement :

(Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to include the name of the petitioner in the panel of Inspectors of Police fit for promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police for the year 2013-14 and consequently to direct the 1st respondent to promote the petitioner as Deputy Superintendent of Police from the date on which juniors were promoted by taking into account of "Further Action Dropped in P.R.No.20/14 issued U/r. 3(b) of Tamil Nadu Police Sub-Ordinate Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules 1955 by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Railways, Chennai, in his proceedings in Tha.Ko.20/14 rule 3 (b) dated 25.09.2015 and by considering his representation dated 09.11.2015 within the period that may be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.)

1. The petitioner is serving as Inspector of Police at Sivagangai District. According to him, he is to be included in the panel of Inspector of Police fit for promotion to

the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police for the year 2013-2014.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was not included in the panel for promotion on the ground that a criminal case was pending against him vide P.R.No.20/14 and a charge memo was issued under Rule 3(b) Tamil Nadu Police Sub-Ordinate Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules 1955. It is now stated that the disciplinary proceedings ended in his favour and the charges were dropped. Hence, he made a representation on 09.11.2015 to the first respondent to include him in the panel for promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police for the year 2013-2014.

3. In the light of the afore-said facts and circumstances of the case, he seeks a direction to the first respondent to dispose of his representation dated 09.11.2015, since his juniors were promoted and he was denied promotion due to the pendency of the proceedings.

4. In the light of the above, without expressing any view on the merits of the matter or otherwise, this writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the first respondent to dispose of his representation of the petitioner dated 09.11.2015, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com