

C.Damodharan Vs. State

C.Damodharan Vs. State

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1167770

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jul-10-2014

Judge : The Honourable Ms.Justice K.B.K.Vasuki

Appellant : C.Damodharan

Respondent : State

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS DATED : 10.07.2014
CORAM THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE K.B.K.VASUKI Cri.O.P.No.17694 of
2014 C.Damodharan ..Petitioner versus State represented by Inspector of Police,
J12 Kanathur Police Station, Kancheepuram District..Respondent Prayer :
Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure praying to direct the respondent to register and investigate the
complaint submitted by the petitioner on 28.06.2014 in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Arul For Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias, Additional Public
Prosecutor

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional
Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

2.This Criminal Original Petition is filed to direct the respondent to register and
investigate the complaint submitted by the petitioner on 28.06.2014 in accordance

with law.

3.The perusal of the records made available herein would reveal that the complaint of the petitioner dated 28.06.2014 received in the office of the respondent, is treated as C.S.R.No.160/2014.

However, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor is unable to report about the final outcome of the same.

4.In the recent decision reported in (2014) 2 SCC1(Lalita Kumari v.

Government of Uttar Pradesh and others).the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Apex Court issued the following directions relating to registration of FIR, which read as follows: ".120.In view of the aforesaid discussion, we hold: 120.1.

The registration of FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code, if the information discloses commission of a cognizable offence and no preliminary inquiry is permissible in such a situation.

120.2.If the information received does not disclose a cognizable offence but indicates the necessity for an inquiry, a preliminary inquiry may be conducted only to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed or not.

120.3.If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered.

In cases where preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, a copy of the entry of such closure must be supplied to the fiRs.informant forthwith and not later than one week.

It must disclose reasons in brief for closing the complaint and not proceeding further.

120.4.The police officer cannot avoid his duty of registering offence if cognizable offence is disclosed.

Action must be taken against erring officers who do not register the FIR if information received by him discloses a cognizable offence.

120.5. The scope of preliminary inquiry is not to verify the veracity or otherwise of the information received but only to ascertain whether the information reveals any cognizable offence.

120.6. As to what type and in which cases preliminary inquiry is to be conducted will depend on the facts and circumstances of each case.

The category of cases in which preliminary inquiry may be made are as under:
(a) Matrimonial disputes/family disputes.

(b) Commercial offences (c) Medical negligence cases (d) Corruption cases
(e) Cases where there is abnormal delay/laches in initiating criminal prosecution, for example, over 3 months delay in reporting the matter without satisfactorily explaining the reasons for delay.

The aforesaid are only illustrations and not exhaustive of all conditions, which may warrant preliminary inquiry.

120.7. While ensuring and protecting the rights of the accused and the complainant, a preliminary inquiry should be made time bound and in any case, it should not exceed 7 days.

The fact of such delay and the causes of it must be reflected in the General Diary entry.

120.8. Since the General Diary/Station Diary/Daily Diary is the record of all information received in a police station, we direct that all information relating to cognizable offences, whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading to an inquiry, must be mandatorily and meticulously reflected in the said diary and the decision to conduct a preliminary inquiry must also be reflected, as mentioned above. K.B.K.VASUKI, J.

jbm 4. In view of the same and considering the limited nature of the relief sought for herein, this Court directs the respondent to deal with the complaint received, in

accordance with the directions of the Hon'ble Apex Court as stated supra.

In the event of the FIR being registered, the same shall be investigated and final report be filed as expeditiously as possible.

In the event of the failure on the part of the respondent to exercise statutory duty cast on him, in the light of the directions issued above, it is always open to the petitioner to invoke Sections 154(3) or 156(3) Cr.P.C or work out further remedy in accordance with law.

5. With the above directions, the Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

10.07.2014 jbm To 1. Inspector of Police, J12 Kanathur Police Station, Kancheepuram District.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.17694 of 2014

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com