

The Petitioner Impugns the Notifications Dated Vs. Krishna Devi
.....Petitioner

The Petitioner Impugns the Notifications Dated Vs. Krishna Devi
.....Petitioner

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1158755

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Jul-16-2014

Appellant : The Petitioner Impugns the Notifications Dated

Respondent : Krishna DeviPetitioner

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH Civil Writ
Petition No.13541 of 2014 Date of Decision: July 16, 2014 Krishna Devi
.....Petitioner versus State of Haryana and othersRespondents CORAM :
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL.

Present : Mr.Ajay Vijarania, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

-. 1.

Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?.

2.

To be referred to the Reporters or not?.

3.

Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?.

--- Surya Kant, J.

(Oral) Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 & 3 only at this stage.

On our asking, Ms.Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on their behalf.

Let two copies of the writ petition be supplied to the learned State Counsel during the couRs.of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non- prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, there is no need to seek counter-reply from respondent Nos.1 & 3 nor respondent No.2 is required to be served at this stage.

Kumar Mohinder 2014.07.31 12:33 I attest to the accuracy of this order Chandigarh CWP No.13541 of 2014 [2].The petitioner impugns the notifications dated 18.12.2008 (Annexure P-1) and 17.12.2009 (Annexure P-2) issued under Sections 4 & 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, respectively, to the extent of acquisition of her land and residential house for the public purpose of development of residential Sectors 9 Part & 10 Part, at Dadri, District Bhiwani.

During the couRs.of hearing, learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that similar controveRs.has been elaborately dealt with by this Court vide order dated 20.08.2013 passed in a bunch of writ petitions including CWP No.23386 of 2011 (Kuldeep Singh versus State of Haryana and another).We thus dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondents that let the claim of the petitioners be also considered in the light of above-cited order ppassed in Kuldeep Singh's case (supra).Let an appropriate decision be taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Till then, both the parties are directed to maintain status-quo.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT].JUDGE July 16, 2014 [LISA GILL].Mohinder JUDGE Kumar
Mohinder 2014.07.31 12:33 I attest to the accuracy of this order Chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com