

Hardeo Singh Vs. State of Raj

Hardeo Singh Vs. State of Raj

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1154077

Court : Rajasthan Jodhpur

Decided On : Jul-03-2014

Appellant : Hardeo Singh

Respondent : State of Raj

Judgement :

S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1398/1998 Hardeo Singh versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.& S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1864/1996 Prabhu Dayal versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.Order dt: 03/07/2014 1/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

ORDER

(1) S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1398/1998 Hardeo Singh versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.& (2) S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1864/1996 Prabhu Dayal versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.Date of Order ::: 03rd July, 2014 PRESENT HON'BLE Dr.

JUSTICE VINEET KOTHARI Appearance: Mr.Anil Bhandari].Mr.V.D.Dadhich].- for the petitioner/s.

None present for the respondents.

-- BY THE COURT: 1.

The petitioners having been appointed as Lab Technician/s were assigned the work of 'Malaria Technician/s' and, therefore, they have claimed parity of pay with

them.

The respondents have filed reply to the writ petition controverting the submissions made in the writ petition.

S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1398/1998 Hardeo Singh versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.& S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1864/1996 Prabhu Dayal versus State of Rajasthan & ORS.Order dt: 03/07/2014 2/2 2.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.this Court is of the opinion that the case involves disputed questions of facts, which are firstly required to be determined by the competent authority of the respondent, Medical & Health Department, namely, the Director, who has been arrayed as the sole respondent in the present writ petition.

3.

Accordingly, these writ petitions are disposed of with a liberty and direction to the petitioners to fiRs.approach the respondent- Director of the Medical and Health Department, Jaipur, with appropriate representation giving the details of the work undertaken by them and the relevant evidence and the respondent, Director, Medical & Health Department, is expected to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from today.

No costs.

A copy of this order be sent to the concerned parties forthwith.

(Dr.

VINEET KOTHARI).J.

DJ/- H-4 & 5

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com