

Resurgence India Vs. the Union of India and Others

Resurgence India Vs. the Union of India and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1140784

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : May-20-2014

Appellant : Resurgence India

Respondent : The Union of India and Others

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH Civil Writ Petition No.18396 of 2007 (O&M) DATE OF DECISION: 20.05.2014 Resurgence India ..Petitioner versus The Union of India and othersRespondents CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL,CHIEF JUSTICE HONBLE Mr.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI Present: Mr.APS Shergill, Advocate for the petitioner Mr.Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Sr.Standing Counsel for UOI for Mr.O.S.Batalvi, Special Senior Standing Counsel, UOI Mr.Alok Jain, Addl.

Advocate General, Punjab Mr.Ajay Gupta, Addl.

Advocate General, Haryana Mr.K.K.Gupta and Mr.Aashish Rawal, Advocate for Chandigarh Administration Mr.M.S.Sindhu, Advocate for respondent No.6 .SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral).We have perused the reports submitted by the two State Governments and the U.T.of Chandigarh.

The report of the State of Haryana seeks to suggest that there are expenses incurred outside the mandate and scope of the Indian Red Cross Act, 1920.

Some of them are for altruistic purposes while there are second set of expenses incurred for hospitality, etc. of the administration and VIPs which are liable to be refunded back to Chand Parkash 2014.05.21 12:54 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document CWP-18396-2007 - 2 - the Red Cross.

Learned Additional Advocate General for State of Haryana submits that reimbursement will be done on or before 15.7.2014.

As far as the U.T. of Chandigarh is concerned, it has been opined that the expenditure incurred is either in order or recommendatory and, thus, no action is required.

The last report is of the State of Punjab where also there are expenses incurred beyond the purview of the Act.

Not only that, qua certain aspects, the matter is quite serious and this is as per Annexure R-1 to the report.

Learned Additional Advocate General seeks six weeks time to conclude the proposed action so that the matter is recommended to the Ministry of Personnel, Government of India.

Compliance report be filed before us at least three days prior to the next date of hearing.

The petition accordingly stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. List for compliance on 02.08.2014.

(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL) CHIEF JUSTICE 2005.2014 (ARUN PALLI) parkash*
JUDGE Chand Parkash 2014.05.21 12:54 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com