

Trial Court Records Were Also Requisitioned. on 18.07.2013 It Vs. Smt. Suman

Trial Court Records Were Also Requisitioned. on 18.07.2013 It Vs. Smt. Suman

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1138388

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Apr-22-2014

Appellant : Trial Court Records Were Also Requisitioned. on 18.07.2013 It

Respondent : Smt. Suman

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH FAO No.M-68 of 2013 (O & M) Date of decision: 22.04.2014 Amit KumarAppellant Versus Smt.

SumanRespondent CORAM: HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE S.S.SARON HON'BLE Ms.JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH Present: None for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

S.S.SARON, J.

The appellant-husband file a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking dissolution of marriage between the parties by a decree of divorce.

The marriage between the parties was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 18.12.2004 at village Budian District Jind.

Due to matrimonial disputes between the parties, the appellant on 26.07.2011 filed a petition seeking divorce.

His petition was dismissed by the learned Additional District Judge, Kaithal on 07.02.2013.

Aggrieved against the same, the appellant filed the present appeal.

Notice of motion was issued on 05.03.2013.

The trial Court records were also requisitioned.

On 18.07.2013 it Amit Kaundal 2014.04.28 13:48 was ordered that the appellant shall pay Rs.15,000/- as I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh FAO No.M-68 of 2013 (O & M) -2- litigation expenses to the respondent on the next date of hearing; besides, the parties were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 26.08.2013.

In the mediation proceedings recorded on 24.09.2013 a sum of Rs.15,000/- was paid in cash to the respondent-wife.

The case was sent back by Mediation and Conciliation Centre as the parties had failed to settle their dispute by way of an amicable settlement.

On 24.02.2014, that is, on the previous date none appeared for the appellant.

However, the counsel for the respondent was present.

The case was adjourned for today.

Today also, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed in default in terms of Order 41 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(S.S.SARON) JUDGE (NAVITA SINGH) JUDGE2204.2014 A.Kaundal Amit
Kaundal 2014.04.28 13:48 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com