

Ratheesh Vs. State of Kerala

Ratheesh Vs. State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1135972

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Mar-27-2014

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice N.K.Balakrishnan

Appellant : Ratheesh

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.K.BALAKRISHNAN THURSDAY, THE 27^H DAY OF MARCH 2014 6TH CHAITHRA, 1936 Bail Appl..No. 2127 of 2014 () ----- CRIME NO. 186/2014 OF SASTHAMCOTTA POLICE STATION ----- PETITIONERS/ACCUSED :- ----- 1. RATHEESH AGED 32 YEARS S/O.VIKRAMAN PILLAI, CHAKKUVALLI THEKKATHIL VILAMTHARA, VILANTHARA P.O., WEST KALLADA KOLLAM DISTRICT, KERALA, 690520.

2. SREEDEVI AMMA, AGED 60 YEARS W/O.VIKRAMAN PILLAI, CHAKKUVALLI THEKKATHIL VILAMTHARA P.O., WEST KALLADA, KOLLAM DISTRICT KERALA, 690520.

3. ANILA SURESH AGED 35 YEARS W/O.SURESH, CHAKKUVALLI THEKKATHIL, VILAMTHARA P.O. WEST KALLADA, KOLLAM DISTRICT, KERALA 69052.

4. SURESH AGED 40 YEARS S/O. VIKRAMAN PILLAI, CHAKKUVALLI THEKKATHIL VILAMTHARA P.O., WEST KALLADA, KOLLAM DISTRICT KERALA, 690520.

5. VIKRAMAN PILLAI AGED 65 YEARS CHAKKUVALLI THEKKATHIL, VILAMTHARA, VILAMTHARA P.O., WEST KALLADA, KOLLAM DISTRICT, KERALA, 690520. BY ADV. SRI. SOORANAD S. SREEKUMAR RESPONDENTS :- ----- 1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682031.

2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE SASTHAMCOTTA POLICE STATION, KOLLAM DISTRICT-690 521. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. RAJESH VIJAYAN THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/03-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING: jvt N.K. BALAKRISHNAN, J.

----- B.A. No.2127 of 2014 ----- Dated this the 27th day of March 2014

ORDER

Petitioners are the accused in Crime No.186/2014 of Sasthamcotta Police Station. The offences alleged against them are punishable under Secs.498A, 420 and 120B r/w 34 of IPC. First petitioner is the husband of the de facto complainant. Other petitioners are the mother and his near relatives. The marriage between the complainant and the first accused was had on 27.1.2013.

2. It is alleged that the accused persons subjected the de- facto complainant to physical and mental cruelty demanding more dowry, gold etc.

3. The allegations are not true, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits.

4. Considering all the aspects the following directions are issued: The petitioners shall surrender before the Investigating Officer within 10 days from today. After interrogation the accused shall be produced before the learned B.A. No.2127 of 2014 -:

2. :- Magistrate. When applied for bail by the accused, the learned Magistrate will grant bail to the petitioners but on the following conditions: a. The accused shall execute a bond for 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate. If in case the Magistrate has any doubt about the genuineness or correctness of the tax receipts produced by the sureties, the learned Magistrate can insist for production of the attested photo copies of the original title deeds of the sureties. b. The first petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer and should appear before the Investigating Officer on all Mondays between 9.30 AM to 11.30 AM until further orders. c. The first petitioner shall produce his original passport before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate. If he is not having any valid passport, he should file an affidavit regarding the same before the Magistrate. d. The accused will also file affidavit that they will abide by all the conditions as mentioned above. e. The learned Magistrate will also ensure the identity of the sureties by insisting production of electoral photo identity cards/Driving licence etc. Sd/- N.K.BALAKRISHNAN, JUDGE. Jvt

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com