

Vinod Vs. State of Kerala

Vinod Vs. State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1128971

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Feb-17-2014

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice M.L.Joseph Francis

Appellant : Vinod

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.L.JOSEPH FRANCIS MONDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2014 28TH MAGHA, 1935 Bail Appl..No. 1121 of 2014 () ----- CRL.MC1492014 of SESSIONS COURT, THRISSUR CRIME NO. 65/2014 OF KODUNGALLUR POLICE STATION , THRISSUR PETITIONERS/IST AND 2D ACCUSED:

----- 1. VINOD, AGED 30 YEARS S/O DHARMAN, VATTAPPARAMBIL HOUSE, NARAYANAMANGALAM PULLUT NORTH PO, KODUNGALLUR, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. RAVEESH, AGED 32 YEARS S/O ANANDAN, PARAKKAL HOUSE, NARAYANAMANGALAM PULLUT NORTH PO, KODUNGALLUR, THRISSUR DISTRICT. BY ADV. SRI.P.K.VARGHESE RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

----- STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682 031. R BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, SMT LALIZA THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING

COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 1702-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
PASSED THE FOLLOWING: M.L. JOSEPH FRANCIS J., - - - - -
- - - - - Bail Application No.1121 of 2014 - - - - -
- - - Dated this the 17th day of February 2014

ORDER

Petitioners are accused Nos.1 and 2 in Crime No.65 of 2014 of Kodungallur Police Station registered for offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 308 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The allegations are that on 4.1.2014 at about 9.40 p.m., the petitioners and other accused wrongfully restrained the de-facto complainant at Narayanamangalam junction and kicked on the chest and lower abdomen and the first petitioner tried to inflict an injury on the de-facto complainant with a brick.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail Bail Application No.1121 of 2014 :2: application. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the first petitioner sustained severe injuries resulted in amputation of three fingers. Crime No.64 of 2014 of Kodungallur Police station was registered against the de-facto complainant for offence punishable under 341, 323, 324, 308 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that the arrest and detention of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of the investigation. Hence this bail application is allowed. It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the above case, they shall be released on bail on executing bond for `25,000/- each with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the officer effecting arrest. The petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required. It is made clear Bail Application No.1121 of 2014 :3: that if the petitioners surrender before the Judicial First Class Magistrate having jurisdiction, within 30 days from today, they shall be released on bail on executing bond for `25,000/- each with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial First Class Magistrate. In that case also, the petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required. Sd/ M. L. JOSEPH FRANCIS,

(JUDGE) d// // TRUE COPY //

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com