

Anthru Vs. the Assistant Engineer Kseb

Anthru Vs. the Assistant Engineer Kseb

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1125734

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Feb-04-2014

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Surendra Mohan

Appellant : Anthru

Respondent : The Assistant Engineer Kseb

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN TUESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2014 15TH MAGHA, 1935 WP(C).No. 1059 of 2014 (F) ----- PETITIONER: ----- ANTHRU AGED 46 YEARS, S/O. SAID, MANAGING PARTNER M/S. STAR PLYWOOD INDUSTRIES, ODAKKALI, ASAMANNOR ERNAKULAM DISTRICT. BY ADVS.SRI.C.A.NAVAS SRI.T.K.SASIKUMAR SMT.C.S.SIMI RESPONDENTS: ----- 1. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, KURUPPAMPADY ERNAKULAM DISTRICT 683540.

2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICAL SECTION KURUPPAMPAD, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT 683540.

3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTION DIVISION PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT 683501.

4. THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICAL CIRCLE PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT 683501.

5. THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM, REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRPERSON, C G R F CENTRAL REGION, ERNAKULAM 682030.

6. THE STATE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN, PALLIKKAVIL BUILDING, OPP. KOCHI CORPORATION REGIONAL OFFICE ANCHUMANA - MAMANAMGALAM TEMPLE ROAD, EDAPALLY KOCHI - 682 024.

7. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

8. UNIVERSAL VENEERS REPRESENTED BY THE AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE SRI.T.P. MUHAMMED UNIVERSAL VENEERS, ODAKKALY, ASAMANNOR P.O. ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683 549. R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI.M.A.ABDUL SHUKOOR R BY SRI.SAJEEVKUMAR K.GOPAL,SC,KSEB THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 0402-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: WP(C).No. 1059 of 2014 (F) ----- APPENDIX PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS ----- EXHIBIT-P1- PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE SANCTION

ORDER

NO. 72/1999-2000 DATED 2403/2000 EXHIBIT-P2- PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE POWER SANCTION

ORDER

NO. PA2101- 02/DE2-52/PA2601-02/923/21/2/02 DATED NIL EXHIBIT-P3- PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE MINIMUM GUARANTEE AGREEMENT NO. MGK NO. 7/2001 DATED 2611/2001 EXHIBIT-P4-PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE SANCTION

ORDER

AS NO.33/01-02/DB-2- 62/PAPA/26/01-02/482 DATED2311/2011 EXHIBIT-P5-
PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 1ST
RESPONDENT DATED1805/2013 EXHIBIT-P6-PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE
APPLICATION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED1805/2013
EXHIBIT-P7- -DO- OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED2005/2013 EXHIBIT-P8-
-DO- OF THE LETTER NO. DB1213-14/KRPDY/42/23/05/2013 DATED2305/2013
EXHIBIT-P9- -DO- OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED0306/2013 EXHIBIT-
P10- -DO- THE PETITION DATED1006/2013 FILED BEFORE THE CONSUMER
GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM. EXHIBIT-P11- -DO- OF THE

ORDER

DATED0407/2013 IN PETITION NO. CGRF- CR/COMP.48/13-14 OF
CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM, ERNAKULAM. EXHIBIT-P12- -
DO- OF THE STATUTORY APPEAL DATED1107/2013 FILED BEFORE THE
STATE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN. EXHIBIT-P13- -DO- OF THE CONSENT
LETTER DATED1307/2013 EXHIBIT-P14- -DO- OF THE COMPLAINT NOTICE
NO. P3952013/916 DATED2008/2013. EXHIBIT-P15 -DO- OF THE AFFIDAVIT
STATEMENT1009/2013 FILED BEFORE THE OMBUDSMAN. EXHIBIT-P16- OF
THE

ORDER

DATED0307/2013 IN PETITION NO. CGRF- CR/COMP.33/13-14 EXT.P17: -DO-
OF THE STATUTORY APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE STATE ELECTRICITY
OMBUDSMAN DT.2.1.2014 RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS NIL -----
JJ /TRUE COPY/ P.S.TO JUDGE K. SURENDRA MOHAN,J
----- W.P(C) NO. 1059 OF2014----- Dated
this the 4th February, 2014.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has preferred Exts.P12 and P17 appeals, which are pending before
the sixth respondent. The limited relief sought for by the petitioner when this
matter is taken up today is for a direction to the sixth respondent to consider and
dispose of the appeals expeditiously. The standing counsel for Kerala State
Electricity Board Mr.Sajeev Kumar K.Gopal has no objection to such a direction

being issued. This writ petition is therefore disposed of directing the sixth respondent to consider Exts.P12 and P17 appeals and to finally dispose of them as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months of the date of production of a copy of this judgment by the petitioner. Sd/- K. SURENDRA MOHAN Judge jj /True copy/ 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com