

Harjinder Kaur @ Billi Vs. Harjinder Kaur @ Billi

Harjinder Kaur @ Billi Vs. Harjinder Kaur @ Billi

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1124476

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Feb-05-2014

Appellant : Harjinder Kaur @ Billi

Respondent : Harjinder Kaur @ Billi

Judgement :

Crl.M.No.M-3787 of 2014 (O&M) -1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.M.No.M-3787 of 2014 (O&M) Date of Decision: February 5, 2014 Harjinder Kaur @ BilliPetitioner v.

State of PunjabRespondent CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAM CHAND GUPTA Present: Mr.B.S.Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner....RAM CHAND GUPTA, J.(Oral) The present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.59, dated 12.9.2011, under Sections 15 and 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and under Sections 307, 353, 332, 186, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Division Noormahal, District Jalandhar.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the whole record carefully.

Petitioner is one of the accused in the aforementioned case.

She was on bail and facing trial.

However, she absented from the Court on 13.8.2013.

However, trial Court had taken lenient view and notice was issued to her for putting appearance for 3.9.2013 as on that day another accused was also absent and, however, his application for exemption was allowed.

However, she even did not appear on the adjourned date, i.e., 3.9.2013.

Even notice issued to her for that date was received back with the report of refusal and no application for exemption from personal appearance was also filed.

Learned trial Court rightly ordered for cancellation of her bail bonds and surety bonds.

Now after more than five months of the same, the present application for anticipatory bail has been filed.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner- accused that she could not appear as she was ill.

However, no such Meenu application was moved before learned trial Court on the date when she 2014.02.06 10:27 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document chandigarh Crl.M.No.M-3787 of 2014 (O&M) -2- absented.

Hence, in view of these facts, it is not such a case in which extraordinary relief of anticipatory bail should be granted to the petitioner- accused.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition filed by petitioner-Harjinder Kaur @ Billi for grant of anticipatory bail is, hereby, dismissed being devoid of any merit.

5.2.2014 (Ram Chand Gupta) meenu Judge Meenu 2014.02.06 10:27 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com