

Asha Rani and Another Vs. State of Punjab

Asha Rani and Another Vs. State of Punjab

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1123940

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Jan-31-2014

Appellant : Asha Rani and Another

Respondent : State of Punjab

Judgement :

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at ChandigarhCriminal Misc.

No.M-42702 of 2013Date of decision:31.1.2014 Asha Rani and another
.....Petitioners v.

State of PunjabRespondent ...Present: Mr.Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate
for petitioner No.1 Asha Rani.

Mr.V.P.S.Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab for the respondent-
State....Inderjit Singh, J.

Asha Rani-petitioner No.1 has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C.for grant
of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.112 dated 16.7.2013 registered at Police
Station Maksoodan, Jalandhar for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467,
468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for petitioner No.1 Asha Rani contended that the only allegation
against the petitioner is regarding connivance and she has not executed any
agreement to sell.

Learned counsel further contended that she has already joined the investigation.

On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab opposed the bail petition and stated that keeping in view the serious allegations against petitioner No.1, she is not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Parmar Harpal Singh 2014.02.03 17:40 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh I have gone through the record and have heard learned counsel for petitioner No.1 and learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab appearing for the respondent-State.

The main accused is Mohan Lal, therefore, his petition has already been got dismissed as withdrawn as per order dated 16.12.2013.

The allegation against Asha Rani is only regarding connivance with Mohan Lal main accused.

She has already joined the investigation.

She is not required for custodial interrogation.

Nothing is to be recovered from her.

No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim order dated 16.12.2013 passed by this Court granting interim bail to petitioner No.1 Asha Rani is made absolute.

However, petitioner No.1 shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. January 31, 2014.

(Inderjit Singh) Judge *hsp* Parmar Harpal Singh 2014.02.03 17:40 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com