

Charu and Another Vs. State of Punjab and Others

Charu and Another Vs. State of Punjab and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1121422

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Dec-31-2013

Appellant : Charu and Another

Respondent : State of Punjab and Others

Judgement :

Crl.M.No.M-44329 of 2013(O&M) -1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.M.No.M-44329 of 2013(O&M) Date of Decision: December 31, 2013 Charu and anotherPetitioners v.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAM CHAND GUPTA Present: Petitioners in person with Mr.C.S.Sharma, Advocate....RAM CHAND GUPTA, J.(Oral) The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.is for issuance of direction to respondent nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent nos.4 and 5.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, is directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 28.12.2013, Annexure P4, already made to him by the petitioners.As per averments made in

the petition both the petitioners are major.

Date of birth of petitioner no.1-Charu, as per copy of Identity card issued by Election Commission of India, Annexure P1, is 11.12.1987, and date of birth of petitioner No.2-Pardeep Singh, as per copy of Permanent Account Number Annexure P2, is 15.8.1981.

They got married on 28.12.2013.

Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P3.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 28.12.2013, Annexure P4, Meenu 2014.01.03 13:43 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document chandigarh Crl.M.No.M-44329 of 2013(O&M) -2- already stated to have been moved to him by the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order will be having no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of petitioners and also on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against petitioner no.2 as per law.

31.12.2013 (Ram Chand Gupta) meenu Judge Meenu 2014.01.03 13:43 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com