

Shaik Rahim and Others Vs. Manager, State Bank of India and Another

Shaik Rahim and Others Vs. Manager, State Bank of India and Another

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1113932

Court : Andhra Pradesh State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission
SCDRC Hyderabad

Decided On : Jun-09-1997

Judge : A. Venkatarami Reddy, President, the Honourable Mrs. J. Ananda Lakshmi, Member & the Honourable Mr. K. Ranga Rao, Member

Appeal No. : F.A. No. 797 of 1994

Appellant : Shaik Rahim and Others

Respondent : Manager, State Bank of India and Another

Judgement :

A. Venkatarami Reddy, President:

1. The complaint CD 233/94 was filed by three complainants. The 1st complainant is the daughter-in-law of late Saidabi and complainants 2 and 3 are daughters of late Saidabi. The late Saidabi during her life time pledged the gold ornaments with the opposite party No. 1 for a sum of Rs. 10,000/-. After the death of the said Saidabi, the complainants discharged the loan amount and claimed return of gold ornaments. The 1st opposite party requested the complainants to produce a Succession Certificate and that unless such certificate is produced, they cannot return the gold ornaments. The District Forum found that it cannot be said that the complainants alone are entitled to receive the gold ornaments as legal representatives. It, therefore, held that the opposite party No. 1 rightly asked the

complainants to produce Succession Certificate and as the complainants did not produce the same, the District Forum held that there is no deficiency of service on the part of opposite parties and directed the complainants to approach Civil Court to obtain succession certificate and after production of the same before the opposite parties, they can claim return of the gold ornaments.

2. Aggrieved by the said order, this appeal is preferred by the complainants. We cannot say that there is any deficiency of service on the part of opposite parties in insisting on production of Succession Certificate by the complainants, as under the Muslim Law, it cannot be said that they are only the legal heirs. Hence, we do not see any demerits in the order passed by the District Forum.

3. In the result, the appeal is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs in this appeal.

Appeal dismissed.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com