

Mohammed Jafar and ors. Vs. Delhi Development Authority and ors.

Mohammed Jafar and ors. Vs. Delhi Development Authority and ors.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1095856

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Oct-30-2013

Judge : Pradeep Nandrajog

Appellant : Mohammed Jafar and ors.

Respondent : Delhi Development Authority and ors.

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI % Judgment Reserved on: October 23, 2013 Judgment Delivered on: October 30, 2013 + W.P.(C) 2784/2013 MOHAMMED JAFAR & ORS. Petitioners Represented by: Mr.Imtiaz Ahmad, Advocate with Ms.Bushra Faridi, Advocate versus DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS. Respondents Represented by: Ms.Meenal Kashyap, Advocate with Mr.Rajiv Bansal, Advocate for R-1 Mr.M.K.Mishra, Advocate for R-4 Mr.Sumeet Pushkarna, Advocate with Mr.Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for R-5 Mr.B.Mahapatra, Advocate for R-7 CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.KAMESWAR RAO PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

1. The writ petition raises a concern of sewage being discharged into Najafgarh drain, in which rain water has to flow from the catchment area to river Yamuna. The petition brings out the problem of sewage flowing in Najafgarh drain in the form of release of Methane Gas and Sulphur Dioxide creating health hazard for people in neighbourhood. The residents of Dwarka, an area through which the

drain flows, have filed the writ petition.

2. On October 23, 2013 we had passed the following order:

1. Arguments have been heard.

2. Bench comprising Honble the Chief Justice and Pradeep Nandrajog, J.

had heard extensive arguments on the subject of storm water drains, sewage system and related civil problems. Matter currently awaits adjudication. The issue raised in the instant petition will also be reflected upon by this Bench.

3. 3. Reserved for orders.

On the subject of sewage, flowing through drains in Delhi, which were intended to carry rain water to river Yamuna, a Bench comprising Honble the Chief Justice and Pradeep Nandrajog, J., as recorded in the order dated October 23, 2013 has pronounced a decision in W.P.(C) No.8683/2011 on October 29, 2013, in which extensive guidelines have been led.

4. Since the issue is being further monitored by this Court in W.P.(C) No.5368/2012, we terminate proceedings in this writ petition observing that it would be open to the petitioners to intervene in W.P.(C) No.5368/2012, should they so desire.

5. Petition stands disposed of.

6. No costs. (PRADEEP NANDRAJOG) JUDGE (V.KAMESWAR RAO) JUDGE
OCTOBER30 2013/mamta

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com