

Naresh Baskey Vs. The State of Jharkhand

Naresh Baskey Vs. The State of Jharkhand

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/109150

Court : Jharkhand

Decided On : Jan-09-2017

Appellant : Naresh Baskey

Respondent : The State of Jharkhand

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 1110 of 2016
Ashish Minz .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties
----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the
Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Chaturvedi, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 10/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It appears that under order dated 15.12.2016, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to take fresh steps for service of notice and also service report of notice sent through the process of the court under order dated 23.06.2016 was called for, as under the aforesaid order petitioner was granted provisional bail till 08.09.2016 and notices were issued to opposite party no.

2. Further, the provisional bail of the petitioner was extended from time to time, finally extended under order dated 29.09.2016 till 18.01.2017. Perused the report dated 05.01.2017 submitted by Judge-in-Charge, Gumla, according to which notices sent through the process of the court has not been received in the Judgeship of Gumla till now. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to take fresh steps for service of notice on opposite party no. 2 through the process of court by speed post, for which requisites etc. must be filed within 10 days. In the

meanwhile, provisional bail granted to the petitioner is extended till 27.02.2017. List this case on 22.02.2017 for appearance of opposite party no.

2. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below through FAX at once. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 1549 of 2016 Staya Narayan Choubey .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Jai Shankar Tripathi, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 06/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It appears that under order dated 26.10.2016, case diary was called for. Office note dated 06.01.2017 reveals that case diary has not been received. Office is directed to send an express reminder for transmission of case dairy. List this case after four weeks. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. Let a copy of order be handed over to learned APP. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 1557 of 2016 Md. Dilshad @ Sahjada .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. S.S. Choudhary, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 09/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Under order dated 27.06.2016, petitioner was granted provisional bail till 28.09.2016 and notices were issued to opposite party no.

2. It appears that on 08.11.2016, opposite party no. 2 appeared through learned counsel, but the case diary has not been received and express reminder was sent to the court concerned. When the case is called out, nobody appears on behalf of the Opposite Party no.

2. Learned APP appeared on behalf of the State. Perused the office note dated 06.10.2017, it appears that case diary has not been received. Office is directed to call for an explanation from the court concerned as to why despite requisition and express reminder, he has failed to ensure the transmission of the case diary. Explanation as well as case diary must reach to this Court within two weeks. Further, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner till 23.01.2017 is extended till

20.03.2017. List this case on 07.03.2017. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below at once. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 1665 of 2016 Arun Prajapati .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Bijay Kr. Sinha, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Prabhat Kr. Sinha, Advocate. ----- 06/Dated:

09. 01/2017 When the case is called out, learned counsel for the parties are present. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has complied the order dated 11.08.2016. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 has submitted that both the parties are residing together peacefully and he has no objection, if petitioner is granted bail. In the facts and circumstances, the provisional anticipatory bail granted under order dated 04.10.2016 is hereby confirmed. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2422 of 2016 Manoj Kumar Sarkar .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sah, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 04/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It appears that under order dated 06.10.2016, the matter was referred to the Member Secretary, JHALSA, Nyay Sadan, Ranchi. Perused the office note dated 06.01.2017, the required report from the Member Secretary-cum-Coordinator, JHALSA has been received. Perused the report of A.K. Saboo, Mediator, JHALSA, Ranchi. It appears that the possibility of reconciliation between the parties has failed. So, now the matter will be heard on merits. Since, the parties have appeared, list this case on 24.01.2017 to be heard on merits under the heading For Admission. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI Cr.M.P. No. 2777 of 2016 Arun Kumar Rana @ Arun Rana .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Santosh Kumar Soni, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 02/Dated:

09. 01/2017 This application has been filed for modification of order dated 22.09.2016 with a prayer to extend the period of surrender by further four weeks. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner had moved an anticipatory bail application vide A.B.A. No. 3501 of 2016 and this Court vide order dated 22.09.2016 had granted anticipatory bail and the petitioner was directed to surrender in the court below within two weeks from the date of the order, but the petitioner could not surrender in the court below within the aforesaid period. In view of the statement made in para-6 of the petition, the order dated 22.09.2016 passed in A.B.A. No. 3501 of 2016 is modified to the extent that the petitioner shall surrender before the court below within four weeks from today, subject to the condition that petitioner shall deposit Rs. 5,00/- (Five Hundred) before Jharkhand High Court Advocates' Clerk Association as a cost and shall file a receipt to this effect in the court below. Cr.M.P. No. 2777 of 2016 stands allowed and disposed of. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the trial court and a copy of this order be also sent to Jharkhand High Court Advocates' Clerk Association for intimation. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI Cr.M.P. No. 2824 of 2016 Lalan Kumar Yadav @ Lalan Yadav .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Prashant Kr. Rahul, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 02/Dated:

09. 01/2017 This application has been filed for modification of order dated 16.11.2016 with a prayer to extend the period of surrender by further four weeks. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner had moved an anticipatory bail application vide A.B.A. No. 4502 of 2016 and this Court vide order dated 16.11.2016 had granted anticipatory bail and the petitioner was directed to surrender in the court below within three weeks from the date of the order, but the petitioner could not surrender in the court below within the aforesaid period. In view of the statement made in para-5 of the petition, the order dated 16.11.2016 passed in A.B.A. No. 4502 of 2016 is modified to the extent that the petitioner shall surrender before the court below within four weeks from today, subject to the condition that petitioner shall deposit Rs. 5,00/- (Five Hundred) before Jharkhand High Court Advocates' Clerk Association as a cost and shall file a receipt to this effect in the court below. Cr.M.P. No. 2824 of 2016 stands allowed and disposed

of. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the trial court and a copy of this order be also sent to Jharkhand High Court Advocates' Clerk Association for intimation. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 3192 of 2016 Surendra Kumar Sah @ Bulbul .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Uday Kant Thakur, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Pursuant to order dated 08.09.2016, opposite party no. 2 has appeared through learned counsel, who proposes to file Vakalatnama. In the meanwhile, office is directed to send an express reminder for transmission of case diary. List this case on 02.03.2016. On that date, both the parties are directed to remain physically present before this Court, so that in their presence, possibility of reconciliation may be explored. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 3399 of 2016 Naresh Baskey .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Abhishek Kr. Dubey, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Office is directed to send an express reminder for transmission of case diary. List this case after eight weeks. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 3429 of 2016 Ashok Kumar Karn .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : None. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Under order dated 14.09.2016, notices were issued to opposite party no.

2. Perused the office note dated 06.01.2017, notices sent through the process of the court has been validly served as per the report dated 07.10.2016. Further, office note reveals that case diary has not been received. When the case is called out, nobody appears on behalf of the opposite party no.

2. Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner, however, Sri Tapas Roy, learned APP has appeared on behalf of the State. Office is directed send an express reminder for transmission of case diary. List this case after four weeks for appearance of opposite party no.

2. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.)
Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 3711 of 2016
Pramod Kumar Yadav .. Petitioner Versus 1. The State of Jharkhand 2. Pintu
Kumar Verma 3. Kamlesh Prasad Yadav 4. Kiran Kumari .. Opp. Parties -----
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner
: Mr. Vishal Kr. Trivedi, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 08/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Under order dated 19.07.2016, notices were issued to opposite party no. 2 Pinu Kumar Verma, to whom learned District & Assistant Sessions Judge, Giridih has granted bail in Criminal (Misc.) Appeal No. 12 of 2016. Pursuant to issuance of notice on opposite party no. 2, notices were also issued to opposite party nos. 3 & 4. Further, under order dated 07.09.216, the provisional bail was granted to the petitioner till 14.12.2016. Today, when the case is called out, learned counsel for the petitioner is present. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 is present. Learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 3 & 4 is present and submitted that opposite party no. 4 is presently admitted in hospital, so opposite party no. 3, who is father of opposite party no. 4, has not come due to personal difficulty. Further, in the meanwhile, opposite party no. 2 Pintu Kumar Verma has filed his show cause, which is available on the record. List this case on 21.03.2017. On that date, petitioner and opposite party nos. 2, 3 and 4 should be physically present before this Court, so that in their presence, possibility of framing of rehabilitation scheme for the opposite party no. 4 may be explored under Jharkhand Victim Compensation Scheme. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below at once. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 4319 of 2016 Rakesh Murmu .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Ors. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. K.K. Ojha, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 06/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Under order dated 26.05.2016, petitioner was granted provisional bail till 16.08.2016 and notices were issued to opposite party nos. 2 & 3, victim and her mother. Thereafter, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner was extended till 15.10.2016 and thereafter till 23.01.2017. It appears that notice sent through the registered cover has been validly served on opposite party nos. 2 & 3, but they failed to appear and the matter was directed to be listed for today. Today, when the case is called out, learned counsel for the petitioner is present, as also petitioner is also present. Nobody appears on behalf of the opposite party nos. 2 & 3. In the facts and circumstances, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner to the petitioner under order dated 26.05.2016 is hereby confirmed on the condition that petitioner shall deposit Rs. 20,000/- before the trial court latest 31.01.2017. After deposition, of the aforesaid amount, the trial court will issue notice to the complainant Dhanmunu Murmu and her daughter Shushanti Hansda (victim) and the trial court on their appearance, after proper verification, will release the aforesaid Rs. 20,000/- by way of ad interim compensation in favour of the Shushanti Hansda (victim) under Victim Compensation Scheme. The deposition of the aforesaid amount will not prejudice the case of the petitioner during course of trial. Further, the trial court is directed to submit a report to this Court within 12 weeks as to whether petitioner has deposited the aforesaid amount or not and also the aforesaid amount has been disbursed to victim or not? Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below through FAX at once. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.)
Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 5053 of 2016 1. Pawan Kumar Singh 2. Dilip Singh @ Dilip Kumar Singh .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioners : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Roy, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that although initially the case was registered under Sections 323, 504, 506, 109, 386, 34 of the I.P.C. and Section 3(x) (xiv) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, but the police after investigation has not found case under Section 3 (x)(xiv) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, so he has not preferred an appeal under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act,

rather he has preferred anticipatory bail application. So, the defect as pointed out by the office is ignored at present. Office is directed to call for the case diary from the court concerned. List this case after eight weeks. Till then, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioners in connection with Dhanwar P.S. Case No. 155 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 1717 of 2016, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Giridih. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below, cost to be borne by the petitioners. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 5100 of 2016 Md. Taiyab Ali .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Shree Nivas Roy, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 The defect as pointed out by the office is ignored for the present. Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Birni P.S. Case No. 77 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 1515 of 2016, registered under Sections 341, 323, 353, 504, 506, 379 of the I.P.C., lodged on the basis of one written report given by Umesh Prasad Kushwaha. Office is directed to call for the case diary from the court concerned. List this case after eight weeks. Till then, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in connection with Birni P.S. Case No. 77 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 1515 of 2016. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 5262 of 2016 Santosh Yadav .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Lalit Yadav, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 07/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Under order dated 05.12.2016, trial court was directed to take all possible steps to apprehend the petitioner. When the case is called out, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed the certified copy of order passed by SDJM, Dumka, which reveals that the petitioner has surrendered in the court below on 17.1.2016. It was submitted that the informant was examined on pre-charge stage and she has not supported the case. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not want to press this anticipatory bail application. Permission accorded. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is dismissed as not pressed. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below through

'FAX', cost to be born by petitioner. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 1629 of 2016 1.Gunadhar Singh 2.Guria Kumari 3.Janki Devi 4.Jogeshwari Devi @ Jageshwari Devi 5.Rupa Kumari .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties -----
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the
Petitioners : None. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 14/Dated:

09. 01/2017 When the case is called out, nobody appears on behalf of the petitioners. Under order dated 03.10.2016, a report was called for from the Joint Registrar (Judicial). Perused the report dated 01.12.2016, which reveals that Dispatch Section has stated that it has not received any request from Judge-in-Charge, Civil Court, Dumka regarding re-issuance of notice relating to this case, which was sent through FAX as well as through Special Messenger on 09.08.2016, but the letter bearing D.P.R. 1545/2016 sent by Judge-in-Charge, Dumka was received in Criminal Receiving Section on 01.09.2016. It appears that notice which was sent to opposite party no. 2 under registered cover has been received by opposite party no. 2, A/D has been kept on record, but when the case is called out, nobody appears on behalf of the opposite party no.

2. Let the report be kept in the sealed cover. Further order shall be passed on the next date. List this case after four weeks for appearance of opposite party no.

2. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2018 of 2016 Sonu Sao @ Sonu Sawa .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Jai Shankar Tripathi, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. S.K. Singh, Advocate. ----- 07/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It appears that under order dated 04.10.2016, records of two complaint cases i.e. Complaint Case No. 191 of 2015 as well as Complaint Case No. 1098 of 2013 was called for, which have been received. List this case in the next week. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. Let the LCRs be kept on record. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2068 of 2016 1. Usha Devi 2. Sukhari

Pandit .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioners : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 04/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Borio (M) P.S. Case No. 308 of 2011, corresponding to G.R. No. 686 of 2014, registered under Sections 302, 201, 120B of the I.P.C. It appears that under order dated 12.08.2016 case diary was called for, thereafter, reminder was sent on 20.10.2016. Office is directed to call for an explanation from the court concerned as to why despite requisition and reminder, he has failed to transmit the case diary. Explanation as well as the case diary must reach to this court within eight weeks. List this case after eight weeks. Till then, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in connection with Borio (M) P.S. Case No. 308 of 2011, corresponding to G.R. No. 686 of 2014, pending in the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below through 'FAX' at once. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2385 of 2016 Dharmnath Singh .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Kr. Pankaj, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 05/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Office is directed to send an express reminder for transmission of case diary. List this case after eight weeks. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2708 of 2016 Arun Kumar Singh .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 05/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sahebganj P.S. Case No. 49 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 223 of 2016, registered under Sections 323, 324, 379, 419, 457, 307, 504, 34 of the I.P.C., lodged on the basis of one complaint case bearing Complaint Case No. 464 of 2016 in the court of learned A.C.J.M., Sahebganj, which was referred under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C.

for institution of the case. It appears that case diary was called for, which has been received by learned APP. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that no case under Section 307 of I.P.C. is made out. It is further submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case as admitted there is some landlord and tenant dispute. So, petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail. Learned APP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Be that as it may, I am inclined to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The above named petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within five weeks from the date of this order and in the event of his arrest or surrender, the Court below shall enlarge the above named petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahebganj, in connection with Sahebganj P.S. Case No. 49 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 223 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 4350 of 2016 Nand Lal Pandey .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Sr. Advocate. Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate. For the State : Mr. S.K. Deo, A.P.P. ----- 04/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 192 of 2014, corresponding to G.R. No. 795 of 2014, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the I.P.C., lodged on the basis of one written report given by Krishna Kumar Sao, Executive Engineer, National Highway Division, Hazaribagh alleging that in the aforesaid Division an agreement arrived between petitioner and National Highway for extension of NH-100 from km 0.00 to 21.00 and from 62.65 to 73.00 and petitioner has furnished a bank guarantee of Rs. 48,64,000.00 issued by Bank of India, Pithoria Branch. Subsequently, it was found that the aforesaid bank guarantee was forged. Learned counsel for the petitioner referred to letter dated 18.01.2011 issued by Branch Manager, whereby he has confirmed that the bank guarantee of Rs. 48,64,000/- has been issued in favour of Executive Engineer, National Highway, Hazaribagh. Subsequently, it transpired that the same bank in 2014 had denied to have issued the bank guarantee. It appears that this casts suspicion in the entire prosecution case and

period of bank guarantee was valid up to 21.01.2014, which has already expired and F.I.R. has been lodged on 07.03.2014. So, petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail. Learned APP, on the other hand, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and relied on the letter of the bank at paragraph-59 & 60 of the case diary, whereby Branch Manager of the Bank of India has supported the case of prosecution. Be that as it may, in view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the contradictory -4- stand of the prosecution itself and also the fact that period of bank guarantee has lapsed and the matter is pending before Arbitrator, I am inclined to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The above named petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within five weeks from the date of this order and in the event of his arrest or surrender, the Court below shall enlarge the above named petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hazaribagh, in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 192 of 2014, corresponding to G.R. No. 795 of 2014, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C., subject to further condition that petitioner shall deposit Rs. 75,000/- before the Secretary General, Jharkhand High Court Advocates' Association within three weeks as cost and file receipt before the surrender in the court below. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2423 of 2016 Md. Rafique Ansari @ Rafique Ansari .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Md. Zaid Ahmad, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 08/Dated:

09. 01/2017 At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list this case after two weeks. Till then, interim order dated 04.10.2016 shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2285 of 2016 1.Hulsi Devi 2.Jaswa Devi 3.Yashoda Devi 4.Koushalya Devi @ Kaushlya Devi .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioners : Mr. Kamdeo Pandey, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 05/Dated:

09. 01/2017 It appears that under order dated 25.11.2016, petitioner was directed to file summoning order. Office order reveals that summoning order has not been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to file summoning order by the next date. List this case after four weeks. Till then, interim order dated 25.11.2016 shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 105 of 2017 Santosh Nayak .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 02/Dated:

09. 01/2017 At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list this case in the next week. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2721 of 2016 1. Ajit Kumar Gupta 2. Sujit Kumar Gupta 3. Vikash Kumar Gupta 4. Rajendra Sao 5. Sugia Devi 6. Anil Prasad Gupta 7. Sunil Prasad Gupta .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioners : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 04/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application. Permission accorded. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is dismissed as not pressed. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2779 of 2016 Chhotu @ Prakash Yadav .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Ors. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Md. Imtiaz Khan, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 08/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Perused the office note dated 07.01.2017. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner has not complied with the order dated 05.12.2016 passed by this Court for issuance of notice on the correct address of the opposite party no.

2. When the case is called out, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for three days time to take proper steps for issuance of notice on the correct address. Prayer is allowed. Three days' time is granted to the petitioner to comply with the

order of this Court, failing which this application shall stand rejected without further reference to a Bench. List this case after six weeks. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 2848 of 2016 1.Krishna Mohan Singh 2.Rubi Devi 3.Mamta Kumari @ Mamta Devi 4.Manju Devi .. Petitioners Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioners : Mrs. Gouri Debi, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- 06/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Four petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sidhgora P.S. Case No. 21 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 716 of 2016, registered under Sections 342, 328, 323, 504, 34 of the I.P.C., lodged on the basis of one fardbeyan of Yashwinder Malhotra. It appears that under order dated 05.09.2016, case diary was called for and further on 25.10.2016, reminder was sent for transmission of the case diary. A letter dated 11.11.2016 has been received from Sindhu Nath Lamay, J.M., 1st Class-cum-Additional Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Jamshedpur, and according to him, he has taken all steps for transmission of the case diary, but case diary has not been received till date. So, Senior Superintendent of Police, Jamshedpur, East Singhbhum is directed to personally look into the matter and ensure the transmission of case diary to this Court within six weeks. List this case after six weeks. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. Let a copy of order be communicated to the court below and also to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jamshedpur, East Singhbhum through 'FAX' for transmission of case diary. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 3303 of 2016 Roudra Rohit Mallick .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sah, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. For the O.P. No. 2 : ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Pursuant to order dated 07.09.2016, both the parties have appeared physically. When the case is called out, it is pointed out by learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 that while working as a Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, I had issued notice to opposite party no. 2 and had passed interim order in favour of the

petitioner. Let this case go out of my list to be placed before another Bench after taking necessary permission from Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. 1604 of 2016 Vineet Kumar Singh .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand & Anr. .. Opp. Parties ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. Mrinal Singh, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Vishal Kumar Tiwary, Advocate. ----- 03/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Pursuant to order dated 11.11.2016, petitioner and opposite party no. 2 have physically present along with parents. When the case is taken up, it is pointed out that while working as Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, I had issued notice to opposite party no. 2 and had passed interim order in favour of the petitioner. Let this case go out of my list to be placed before another Bench after taking necessary permission from Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice. Till then, interim order granted earlier shall continue. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. xxxx of 2016 xxx .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. xxx, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- xx/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with xxx P.S. Case No. xx of xx, corresponding to G.R. No. xx of xx, registered under Section xx of the I.P.C. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that xxxx. Learned APP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Be that as it may, I am inclined to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The above named petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within three weeks from the date of this order and in the event of his arrest or surrender, the Court below shall enlarge the above named petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of xxxxxx, in connection with xxxxx P.S. Case No. xxx of xxxx, corresponding to G.R. No. xxxx of xxxx, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI A.B.A. No. xxxx of 2016 xxx .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp.

Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. xxx, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- xx/Dated:

09. 01/2017 (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/ IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI xxxx No. xxxx of 201x xxxx .. Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand .. Opp. Party ----- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH ----- For the Petitioner : Mr. xxxxx, Advocate. For the State : A.P.P. ----- xx/Dated:

09. 01/2017 Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Saraiyahat P.S. Case No. 147 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 1212 of 2016, registered under Section 414 of the I.P.C. and Section 7 of Essential Commodities Act. From perusal of impugned order, it appears that five bag of Urea and two Jarkin 40-40 liters of Kerosene oil was recovered. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that one Rabindra Kumar Das has claimed for the aforesaid recovered articles and has filed release petition filed before the court below. Learned APP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Be that as it may, I am inclined to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The above named petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within three weeks from the date of this order and in the event of his arrest or surrender, the Court below shall enlarge the above named petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of xxxxxx, in connection with xxxxx P.S. Case No. xxx of xxxx, corresponding to G.R. No. xxxx of xxxx, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. (Anant Bijay Singh, J.) Sunil/

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com