

Manjit Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab

Manjit Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1070222

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Aug-16-2013

Appellant : Manjit Singh and Others

Respondent : State of Punjab

Judgement :

Criminal Misc.

not M-18494 of 2013 ...1.IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH Criminal Misc.

not M-18494 of 2013 Date of Decision :

16. h August, 2013 Manjit Singh & Others ...Petitioners Versus State of Punjab ...Respondent CORAM: HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI Present: Mr.Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioneRs.Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

*** Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioneRs.Manjit Singh, Captain Singh and Kunan Singh, who have been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 148, 307, 323, 324 and 326 read with Section 149, IPC, and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, in a case arising out of FIR No.177, dated 02.11.2012, registered at Police Station,

Ajnala, Amritsar (Rural).Vide order dated 31.05.2013, the petition for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2, Captain Singh, was dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for petitioner Nos.1 and 3, namely, Manjit Singh and Kunan Singh, submits that in compliance with the order dated 31.05.2013 passed by this Court, petitioner Nos.1 and 3 have joined the investigation and are no more required by Sharma Seema 2013.08.16 17:08 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh Criminal Misc.

not M-18494 of 2013 ...2.the Investigating Agency for any other purpose; that it is a case of version and cross version and that no specific role has been assigned to petitioner Nos.1 and 3, namely, Manjit Singh and Kunan Singh.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Tilak Raj, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ajnala, very fairly concedes that petitioner Nos.1 and 3, namely, Manjit Singh and Kunan Singh, have not been assigned any specific role.

He further concedes that in compliance of the order dated 31.05.2013 passed by this Court, petitioner Nos.1 and 3, namely, Manjit Singh and Kunan Singh have joined the investigation and are no more required by the Investigating Agency for any other purpose.

Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 31.05.2013 passed by this Court, whereby the ad- interim anticipatory bail was granted to petitioner Nos.1 and 2, namely, Manjit Singh and Kunan Singh, is made absolute.

Petitioner Nos.1 and 3 shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2).Cr.P.C.August 16, 2013 (Naresh Kumar Sanghi) seema Judge Sharma Seema 2013.08.16 17:08 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com