

Rupinder Kaur and Another Vs. State of Punjab and Others

Rupinder Kaur and Another Vs. State of Punjab and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1062294

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Nov-29-2012

Appellant : Rupinder Kaur and Another

Respondent : State of Punjab and Others

Judgement :

Crl.M.not M-37658 of 2012(O&M) -1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.M.not M-37658 of 2012(O&M) Date of Decision: November 29, 2012 Rupinder Kaur and anotherPetitioners v.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAM CHAND GUPTA Present: Petitioners in person with Mr.Jagtar Singh, Advocate.....RAM CHAND GUPTA, J.(Oral) Crl.M.No.71523 of 2012 Application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Crl.M.not M-37658 of 2012 The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.is for issuance of direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Roop Nagar, is directed to

take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 18.11.2012, Annexure P4, already made to him by petitioner no.1.

As per averments made in the petition both the petitioners are major.

Date of birth of petitioner no.1-Rupinder Kaur, as per copy of Delhi Senior Secondary School Examination Certificate, Annexure P1, is 18.10.1991, and date of birth of petitioner No.2- Pankaj Kumar, as per copy of Birth Certificate, Annexure P2, is 27.4.1988.

They got married on 18.11.2012.

Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P3.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the Crl.M.not M-37658 of 2012(O&M) -2- petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Roop Nagar, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 18.11.2012, Annexure P4 already stated to have been moved to him by petitioner no.1.

However, it is made clear that this order will be having no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of petitioners and also on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against petitioner no.2 as per law.

29.11.2012 (Ram Chand Gupta) meenu Judge

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com