

Lekh Raj Vs.

Lekh Raj Vs.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1061890

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Jul-25-2013

Appellant : Lekh Raj

Judgement :

CRM not M-17004 of 2013 (O&M) 1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH (209) CRM not M-17004 of 2013 (O&M) Date of decision:

25. 07.2013.

Lekh RajPetitioner Versus State of HaryanaRespondent CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SABINA Present: Mr.Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Dhir, DAG, Haryana.

**** SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking regular bail in FIR No.47 dated 27.01.2013 under Sections 323, 452, 294, 506 and Section 307 (added later on) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 55, Faridabad.

As per the prosecution story, petitioner had been teasing the minor daughter of the complainant and had been indulging in obscene activities.

On 27.01.2013, at about 8.00 a.m., petitioner met the complainant in a street.

Complainant tried to tell the petitioner that he should stop teasing his daughter.

Then petitioner started abusing the complainant.

Complainant returned Sandeep Sethi 2013.07.26 10:31 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document CRM not M-17004 of 2013 (O&M) 2 home and after one hour, petitioner entered the house of the complainant, armed with an iron rod and gave a blow with it on the head of the complainant.

As a result of this, complainant fell down and raised alarm.

Brother of the complainant reached the spot and petitioner then fled away from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case due to party faction.

No offence under Section 307 IPC is made out.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has submitted that injury on the head of the complainant was declared to be dangerous to life.

Four witnesses out of eleven witnesses have already been examined.

not the case is listed before the Trial Court for 27.07.2013.

In the present case, allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Further out of eleven witnesses, four witnesses have already been examined.

A perusal of the order passed by the learned Sessions Judge while declining the grant of bail to the petitioner reveals that every effort is being made to decide the trial expeditiously.

Hence, no ground for grant of bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(SABINA) JUDGE July 25, 2013 sandeep sethi Sandeep Sethi 2013.07.26 10:31 I
attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com