

Appellant Vs. Respondent

Appellant Vs. Respondent

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1059379

Court : Kolkata

Decided On : Jul-05-2012

Judge : Sengupta

Appellant : Appellant

Respondent : Respondent

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA Civil Appellate Jurisdiction Original Side
Present : The Honble Justice Sengupta And The Honble Justice Asim Kumar Mondal 05.07.2012 APO 8.of 2012 ACO 1.of 2012 CA 2.of 2012 In the matter of : Satya Ventures PVT.LTD.& ORS.APO 8.of 2012 ACO 2.of 2012 CA 2.of 2012 In the matter of : Satya Ventures PVT.LTD.& ORS.The Court :- There has been typographical mistakes in the judgment and order dated 17th May, 2012.

The same are corrected as suggested in red ink which is submitted to the Court.

The name Gandhi House.

8th Floor, 16, G.C.Avenue, Kolkata-700013.

and the month July., appearing in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th paragraphs at page 3 and also in the 1st paragraph at page 4, are corrected to read as words Senator Hotel, 15, Camac Street, Kolkata - 700017.

and August. In the 1st line at page 3 paragraph 4, the name VISUAL.

is corrected to read as VISHAL. At page 4 paragraph 2 after the word through the register.

it would be read as registered.

and after the word Applicant the words the respective.

would be deleted and at paragraph 3 after the word Advocate.

it would be read as Advocates and after the words once in Aajkal.

there would be full stop and accordingly, the word publication.

letter p.

would be capital letter.

At page 5 of the fiRs. line after the words within seven days from this day.

would be deleted and instead the month and year July, 2012.

would be incorporated.

In the 1st paragraph of the same page after the word failing instead of which.

it would be read as him.

and after the words in the last line of the same paragraph after the words to be held at aforesaid at.

would be deleted and the word paid.

would be incorporated.

In the 2nd paragraph after the word failing instead of which.

it would be read as him.

and after the words to be held at aforesaid at.

would be deleted and the word paid.

would be incorporated.

In the 3rd paragraph after the word failing instead of which.

it would be read as her.

and after the words to be held at aforesaid at.

would be deleted and the word paid.

would be incorporated and in the 3rd line of the said paragraph the word Transferee., is corrected to read as Transferor. In the 4th paragraph after the word failing instead of.

which.

it would be read as .him.

and after the words to be held at aforesaid at.

would be deleted and the word paid.

would be incorporated and in the 3rd line of the said paragraph the word Transferee., is corrected to read as Transferor. At page 6 of the fiRs. line after the word not instead of after.

it would be read as later. In the fourth paragraph of the last line after the word will.

the word be.

would be incorporated and the word restore.

it would be read as restored: Let this correction be incorporated in the earlier order.

All parties shall act on a xerox signed copy of this order on usual undertakings.

(Sengupta, J.) (Asim Kumar Mondal, J.) ANC.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com