

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh Vs. in the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh Vs. in the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1056849

Court : Punjab and Haryana

Decided On : Sep-04-2013

Appellant : In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Respondent : In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH *****
C.M.No.12399 of 2013 in CWP No.22385 of 2012 DATE OF DECISION:4.9.2013
Jitender Kumar ..Petitioner Versus Union of India and others ..Respondents
CORAM:- HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA Present: Mr.Rakesh K.

Nagpal, Advocate for the applicant-respondents/UOI.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?.
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

(Oral) Civil Miscellaneous No.12399 of 2013 is allowed as prayed for.

Short reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record.

In para-4 of the affidavit, the 2nd respondent has stated as follows: 4.

That the Revised Result of the petitioner under the New State Code i.e.30 to 33 (Sikkim to Uttar Pradesh) has been declared and he has been declared qualified and has been allotted same Rank not SL/4291.

Further, the petitioner has been nominated by SSC, Central Region, Allahabad to DIG (Estt).ITPB, New Delhi on 25.07.2013 for issue of Appointment Letter.

Since the prayer made in the writ petition has fully been complied with as the petitioner has been selected and the offer of appointment will be issued by the ITBP authorities and the writ petition has become infructuous, it is, therefore, respectfully prayed that in view of the submissions made above, the writ petition filed by the petitioner may kindly be dismissed as the same has become infructuous, in the interest of justice.

In view of the above, the relief has been granted to the petitioner and the petition has been rendered infructuous in terms of the statement made in the affidavit dated 26.8.2013 filed by the Deputy Regional Director Staff Selection Commission-NWR Government of India, Chandigarh.

Dismissed as infructuous.

4.9.2013 (RAJIV NARAIN RAINA) rajeev JUDGE Thakral Rajeev 2013.09.06
11:04 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document High Court
Chandigarh

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com