

Anil Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

Anil Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1050601

Court : Madhya Pradesh

Decided On : Aug-01-2012

Appellant : Anil

Respondent : The State of Madhya Pradesh

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Shri. Vishal Dhagat

Judgement :

W.P.No.11074 / 2012 (Anil & another...Vs...State of MP and otheRs.01-08-2012
Heard Shri Vishal Dhagat, learned counsel for the petitioners on the question of admission and interim relief.

The petitioners have filed this petition on an apprehension that the respondents would take coercive steps against them and may also threaten them with dire consequences to life and liberty on account of the fact that they have entered into wedlock without the consent of their parents as both of them belong to different castes.

From a perusal of the petition as well as the documents filed by the petitioners it is clear that the petitioners have not filed any complaint or F.I.R.against any of the private respondents to the effect that they have threatened the petitioners on a particular date, in a particular manner, on a particular place or with a particular consequence and in fact the petition has been filed on the basis of mere apprehension that the respondents would do so.

In the circumstances the writ as prayed by the petitioners cannot be issued.

It is, however, stated by the petitioners that the respondents have filed a FiRs.Information Report against the petitioner No.1 at P.S.Pipariya.

In the circumstances, the appropriate remedy of the petitioners is to approach the competent police authorities and bring to their notice fact that the petitioners are major and have entered into wedlock on their own free will.

The petition filed by the petitioner is accordingly disposed of with liberty to do so.

It goes without saying that in case, the petitioners place such documents before the concerned police authority as well as the Supdt.

of Police, Hoshangabad, they shall look into the same and deal with the same expeditiously in accordance with law.

It is further observed that in case the petitioners are threatened and if any cause of action arises to the petitioner subsequently, they would be at liberty to move the competent authority for the redressal of their grievance.

With the aforesaid liberty/directions the petition filed by the petitioners stands disposed of.

C.C.as per rules.

(R.S.Jha) Judge mct

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com