

Mukesh Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

Mukesh Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1048121

Court : Madhya Pradesh

Decided On : Jul-30-2012

Appellant : Mukesh

Respondent : The State of Madhya Pradesh

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Shri. Pushpendra Dubey

Judgement :

Mukesh versus State & ORS.Writ Petition No.10948 / 2012 (s) 30.7.2012: Shri Pushpendra Dubey, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Smt.

D.K.Bohrey, learned Panel Lawyer for the State.

Petitioner is working as Training Officer, I.T.I, Betul and by the impugned order Annexure P-1 dated 13.7.2012 petitioner has been transferred to Seronj District Vidisha.

It is the case of petitioner that the petitioner came to Betul from Baihar, District Balaghat at his own expense after his representation was allowed on 15.6.2010 and not his transfer within a short period is unsustainable.

Keeping in view the aforesaid ground raised by the petitioner, I am of the considered view that it is for respondent to consider this grievance of petitioner

and take a decision.

In view of above it is directed that on the petitioner's filing a certified copy of this order along with a detailed claim, the competent authority shall consider and decide the representation of petitioner by a speaking order and till the aforesaid exercise is not completed status-quo in the matter as is existing today shall be maintained.

With the aforesaid the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

(Rajendra Menon) Judge ss/-

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com