

Vinod Kumar Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

Vinod Kumar Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1047153

Court : Madhya Pradesh

Decided On : Mar-26-2013

Appellant : Vinod Kumar

Respondent : The State of Madhya Pradesh

Judgement :

M.Cr.C.No.3656/2013 26.3.2013 Shri Vikash Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri Puneet Shroti, PL , for the respondent-State.

Heard.

Admit.

Call for the case diary.

Also heard the learned counsel for the parties on IANo.6745/2013 for grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail.

Applicant is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.229/13 registered at Police Station Narsinghpur for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 494/34 of IPC and Section 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is a reputed citizen of the locality, who has no criminal past alleged against him.

He is ready to Co.operate in the investigation.

Learned counsel further submits that the applicant has tried his level best to compromise the matter before Parivar ParamaRs.Kendra.

He filed the documents in support of the aforesaid contention.

It is a matrimonial case wherein in the event of arrest of the applicant, the possibility of compromise between them will be diminished therefore, he prays from grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the application.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the view that the applicant has a good case for grant of bail of anticipatory nature.

Consequently, this application under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.is hereby allowed till disposal of the petition.

It is directed that in the event of arrest, present applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with a solvent surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Authority.

The applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

He shall further abide by the other conditions enumerated in sub-Section (2) of Section 438 of Cr.P.C.This order shall remain in force till disposal of the anticipatory bail application under Section 438, Cr.P.C.List the case along with case diary for final hearing in the week commencing 15.4.2013.

C.C.today.

(G.S.Solanki) JUDGE ravi

