SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Pushpendra Kumar Ahirwar Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

Pushpendra Kumar Ahirwar Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1043269

Court : Madhya Pradesh

Decided On : Jul-17-2013

Appellant : Pushpendra Kumar Ahirwar

Respondent : The State of Madhya Pradesh

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Shri. Dinesh Upadhyay

Judgement :

W.P.No.11390/2013 Pushpendra Kumar Ahirwar vs. State of M.P. & ORS.1 17.07.2013 Heard Shri Dinesh Upadhyay learned counsel for the petitioner, on the question of admission and interim relief.

The petitioner has filed this petition being aggrieved by his non-selection in the selection process undertaken by the respondent authorities pursuant to advertisement dated 6.9.2012 issued by the respondent no.4 for making appointment on the post of Senior Treatment Supervisor/Senior T.B.Lab Supervisor.

It is submitted that though the petitioner is eligible and more meritorious his name has not been included in the selection list/merit list prepared by the respondents while other less meritorious candidates have been appointed as is evident from Annexure P-6.

It is, however, observed that the petitioner has already taken up this issue before the Collector, Tikamgarh as well as the Chief Medical and Health Officer,

Tikamgarh which is pending decision.

Shri S.M.Lal, the learned Govt.

Advocate, appearing for the respondent/State, on advance copy, submits that the representation of the petitioner shall be looked into and decided by the respondent authorities expeditiously in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the effect that in case the petitioner files a copy of the order passed today alongwith a copy of the petition before the respondent authorities within fifteen days from today, the concerned authority shall consider and decide the W.P.No.11390/2013 Pushpendra Kumar Ahirwar vs. State of M.P. & ORS.2 representation filed by the petitioner expeditiously, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore the authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter either accept or reject the representation by passing a reasoned order.

With the aforesaid direction, the petition, filed by the petitioner, stands disposed of.

C.C as per rules.

(R.S.JHA) JUDGE mms/-

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com