

Santosh Kumar Khaskalam Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

Santosh Kumar Khaskalam Vs. the State of Madhya Pradesh

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1040901

Court : Madhya Pradesh

Decided On : Jul-23-2012

Appellant : Santosh Kumar Khaskalam

Respondent : The State of Madhya Pradesh

Judgement :

1 W.P.No.10383/2012 Santosh Kumar Khaskalam versus State of M.P.& ORS.23.07.2012 Heard Shri P.R.Bhave, learned Senior Counsel with Shri Bhanu Yadav for the petitioner, on the question of admission and interim relief.

The petitioner has filed this petition being aggrieved by order dated 19.7.2010 by which the petitioner has been prohibited to function as a dealer in arms on account of the fact that his licence has not been renewed.

It is submitted by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that in fact the petitioner had already filed applications for renewal which were pending before the authorities specifically the documents at pages 26, 29 and 31 of the petition, but instead of taking any decision thereon, the impugned order has been issued.

It is further stated that the petitioner had also filed a representation before the District Magistrate, Betul on 23.10.2011 as well as before the Secretary of the Department on 11.4.2012 requesting them to decide the petitioner's representation for renewal of the licence and to permit him to work as an Arms Dealer but no decision thereon has been taken by the authorities and the matter has been kept

pending thereby denying the petitioner his right to deal in arMs.2
W.P.No.10383/2012 Santosh Kumar Khaskalam versus State of M.P.& ORS.Shri
S.S.Bisen, the learned Govt.

Advocate, on advance copy submits that the application filed by the petitioner shall
be considered and decided in accordance with law.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of the submission
of the learned Govt.

Advocate, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition
filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to
look into the representation of the petitioner and deal with the same expeditiously
in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months from the date of
furnishing a copy of the order passed today alongwith a copy of the petition on the
concerned authority by the petitioner.

With the aforesaid direction, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of.

C.C as per rules.

(R.S.JHA) JUDGE mms/-

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com