

**Cook Vs. Hudson**

**Cook Vs. Hudson**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/103893](http://sooperkanoon.com/103893)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Dec-07-1976

**Appeal No. :** 429 U.S. 165

**Appellant :** Cook

**Respondent :** Hudson

**Judgement :**

Cook v. Hudson - 429 U.S. 165 (1976)

U.S. Supreme Court Cook v. Hudson, 429 U.S. 165 (1976)

**Cook v. Hudson**

**No. 75-503**

**Argued November 1, 1976**

**Decided December 7, 1976**

**429 U.S. 165**

*CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS*

*FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT*

## SYLLABUS

Certiorari is dismissed where it appears, upon examination of the merits on oral argument in light of an intervening state statute and the intervening decision in *Runyon v. McCrary*, [427 U. S. 160](#) , that the grant of certiorari was improvident.

Certiorari dismissed. Reported below: 511 F.2d 744.

PER CURIAM.

Certiorari was granted to consider the question presented: whether, consistently with the First and Fourteenth Amendments, a Mississippi public school board may terminate the employment of teachers sending their children not to public schools, but to a private racially segregated school. However, since the grant of certiorari, *Runyon v. McCrary*, [427 U. S. 160](#) (1976), held that 42 U.S.C. 1981 prohibits private, commercially operated, nonsectarian schools from denying admission to prospective students because they are Negroes. Moreover, a Mississippi statute, Miss.Code Ann. 37-9-59 (Supp. 1976), enacted in 1974 after the school board action here complained of, prohibits school boards

"from denying employment or reemployment to any person . . . for the single reason that any eligible child of such person

Page 429 U. S. 166

does not attend the school system in which such [person] is employed."

Though 37-9-59 was cited in the record at the time of granting the writ, examination of the merits on oral argument in light of *Runyon v. McCrary* and 379-59 satisfies us that the grant was improvident. Accordingly, the writ of certiorari is dismissed as improvidently granted. *Cf. Rice v. Sioux City Cemetery*, [349 U. S. 70](#) (1955).

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE BURGER, concurring in the result.

I join in the Court's disposition of this case. In doing so, I emphasize that our decision to dismiss the writ of certiorari as improvidently granted intimates no view on the question of when, if ever, public school teachers -- or any comparable public employees -- may be required, as a condition of their employment, to enroll their children in any particular school or refrain from sending them to a school which they, as parents, in their sole discretion, consider desirable. Few familial decisions are as immune from governmental interference as parents' choice of a school for their children, so long as the school chosen otherwise meets the educational standards imposed by the State. See *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, [268 U. S. 510](#) (1925); *Meyer v. Nebraska*, [262 U. S. 390](#) (1923); *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, [406 U. S. 205](#) (1972).

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**