

Edwards Vs. Healy

Edwards Vs. Healy

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/103747

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jun-09-1975

Appeal No. : 421 U.S. 772

Appellant : Edwards

Respondent : Healy

Judgement :

EDWARDS v. HEALY - 421 U.S. 772 (1975)

U.S. Supreme Court EDWARDS v. HEALY, 421 U.S. 772 (1975) **421 U.S. 772**

EDWARDS, GOVERNOR OF LOUISIANA, ET AL. v. HEALY ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA.

No. 73-759.

Argued October 16, 1974.

Decided June 9, 1975.

Changes in state constitutional, statutory, and other applicable rules, raise question as to whether this case has become moot.

363 F. Supp. 1110, vacated and remanded.

Kendall L. Vick, Assistant Attorney General of Louisiana, argued the cause for appellants. On the brief were William J. Guste, Jr., Attorney General, and Warren E. Mouldoux, First Assistant Attorney General.

Ruth Bader Ginsburg argued the cause for appellees. With her on the brief was Melvin L. Wulf. [*](#)

[[Footnote *](#)] Briefs of amici curiae urging affirmance were filed by Chesterfield Smith and Marguerite Rawalt for the American Bar Assn., and by Nancy Stearns for the Center for Constitutional Rights.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment of the District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana is vacated and the case is remanded to that court to consider whether in the light of recent changes in the state constitutional, statutory, and other rules applicable to this case the cause has become moot.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS took no part in the decision of this case.

Page 421 U.S. 772, 773

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com