

Johnson Vs. Wasserman

Johnson Vs. Wasserman

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102653

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Feb-02-1970

Appeal No. : 396 U.S. 554

Appellant : Johnson

Respondent : Wasserman

Judgement :

JOHNSON v. WASSERMAN - 396 U.S. 554 (1970)

U.S. Supreme Court JOHNSON v. WASSERMAN, 396 U.S. 554 (1970) **396 U.S. 554**

JOHNSON ET AL. v. WASSERMAN, JUDGE, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

No. 832.

Decided February 2, 1970

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Alvin A. Fein for appellants.

John T. Corrigan and John L. Dowling for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[396 U.S. 554](#) (1970) "> U.S. Supreme Court KIRK v. BOARD OF REGENTS OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, [396 U.S. 554](#) (1970) **396 U.S. 554**

KIRK v. BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF CALIFORNIA, FIRST APPELLATE
DISTRICT

No. 836.

Decided February 2, 1970

273 Cal. App. 2d 430, 78 Cal. Rptr. 260, appeal dismissed.

Charles R. B. Kirk, Norman Dorsen, Clark Byse, and William W. Van Alstyne for appellant.

Thomas J. Cunningham and David W. Louisell for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 396 U.S. 554, 555

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com