

Wyman Vs. Bowens

Wyman Vs. Bowens

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102648

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Feb-24-1970

Appeal No. : 397 U.S. 49

Appellant : Wyman

Respondent : Bowens

Judgement :

WYMAN v. BOWENS - 397 U.S. 49 (1970)

U.S. Supreme Court WYMAN v. BOWENS, 397 U.S. 49 (1970) **397 U.S. 49**

WYMAN, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK v. BOWENS
ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK No.
866.

Decided February 24, 1970 [*](#)

[[Footnote *](#)] Together with No. 874, Lascaris, Commissioner of Onondaga
County Department of Social Services v. Bowens et al., on appeal from the United
States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

304 F. Supp. 717, affirmed.

Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, Samuel A. Hirshowitz, First Assistant Attorney General, and Maria L. Marcus, Assistant Attorney General, for appellant in No. 866. Leonard C. Koldin for appellant in No. 874.

Sydney M. Spector and Richard A. Ellison for appellees in both cases.

PER CURIAM.

The motion of the appellees for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. The motions to affirm are granted and the judgments are affirmed. *Shapiro v. Thompson*, [394 U.S. 618](#) (1969).

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE HARLAN are of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted and the cases set for oral argument.

Page 397 U.S. 49, 50

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com