

**Turner Vs. Clay**

**Turner Vs. Clay**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/102638](http://sooperkanoon.com/102638)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Feb-24-1970

**Appeal No. :** 397 U.S. 39

**Appellant :** Turner

**Respondent :** Clay

**Judgement :**

TURNER v. CLAY - 397 U.S. 39 (1970)

U.S. Supreme Court TURNER v. CLAY, 397 U.S. 39 (1970) **397 U.S. 39**

TURNER ET AL. v. CLAY ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

No. 982.

Decided February 24, 1970

253 S. C. 209, 169 S. E. 2d 617, appeal dismissed.

Harry M. Lightsey, Jr., for appellants.

Huger Sinkler for Clay et al., and Daniel R. McLeod, Attorney General of South Carolina, and C. Tolbert Goolsby, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, for Thornton, Secretary of State of South Carolina, appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motions to dismiss are granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 397 U.S. 39, 40

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**