

Bloss Vs. Dykema

Bloss Vs. Dykema

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102557

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jun-01-1970

Appeal No. : 398 U.S. 278

Appellant : Bloss

Respondent : Dykema

Judgement :

Bloss v. Dykema - 398 U.S. 278 (1970)

U.S. Supreme Court Bloss v. Dykema, 398 U.S. 278 (1970)

Bloss v. Dykema

No. 137

Decided June 1, 1970

398 U.S. 278

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME

COURT OF MICHIGAN

Certiorari granted; judgment of the Michigan Court of Appeals, 17 Mich.App. 318, 169 N.W.2d 367, reversed.

PER CURIAM.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted, and the judgment of the Michigan Court of Appeals is reversed. *Redrup v. New York*, [386 U. S. 767](#) .

THE CHIEF JUSTICE and MR. JUSTICE WHITE are of the opinion that certiorari should be denied.

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, dissenting.

I would affirm the judgment of the Michigan Court of Appeals upon principles heretofore often expressed by me. See my opinions in *Roth v. United States*, [354 U. S. 476](#) , [354 U. S. 496](#) (1957); *Jacobellis v. Ohio*, [378 U. S. 184](#) , [378 U. S. 203](#) (1964); *Memoirs v. Massachusetts*, [383 U. S. 413](#) , [383 U. S. 455](#) (1966). From the standpoint of what I regard as the permissible exercise of state power in this field, the materials in this case fall far short of the "borderline" movie involved in *Cain v. Kentucky* (reversed summarily), [397 U. S. 319](#) (1970), see my dissent in that case, and I am at a loss to understand how these materials can be deemed to qualify for *Redrup* treatment when, only a short time ago, the Court declined to accord that treatment to the materials involved in *Spicer v. New York*, *cert. denied*, 397 U.S. 1042.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com