

U.S. Vs. Interstate Commerce Commission

U.S. Vs. Interstate Commerce Commission

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102473

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : 1969

Appeal No. : 393 U.S. 1077

Appellant : U.S.

Respondent : interstate Commerce Commission

Judgement :

U.S. v. INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION - 393 U.S. 1077 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court U.S. v. INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION , 393 U.S. 1077 (1969)

393 U.S. 1077

UNITED STATES, appellant, v. INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION et al.

.no. 899.

Charles E. BRUNDAGE et al., appellants, v. UNITED STATES et al.

No. 942.

CITY OF AUBURN, appellant, v. UNITED STATES et al.

No. 999.

LIVING TON ANTI-MERGER COMMITTEE, appellant, v. INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION et al.

No. 1003.

Supreme Court of the United States

February 24, 1969

Solicitor General Griswold, Assistant Attorney General Zimmerman, Deputy Solicitor General Springer and Howard E. Shapiro, for the United States.

Louis B. Dailey and Harry Tyson Carter, for appellants Charles E. Brundage and others.

Robert L. Wald and Joel E. Hoffman, for appellant City of Auburn.

Valentine B. Deale, for appellant Livingston Anti-Merger Committee.

Robert W. Ginnane, Fritz R. Kahn and Jerome Nelson, for appellee Interstate Commerce Commission.

Alan F. Wohlstetter, for appellees 230 Pacific Northwest Shippers. [U.S. v. Interstate Commerce Commission [393 U.S. 1077](#) (1969)][1077-Continued]

Robert Y. Thornton, Atty. Gen. of Oregon, and Richard W. Sabin, for appellee Public Utility Commissioner.

Hugh B. Cox, Ray Garrett, D. Robert Thomas, Lee B. McTurnan, Anthony Kane, Louis E. Torinus, Earl F. Requa, Frank S. Farrell, Eldon Martin and Richard J. Flynn, for appellees Great Northern Railway Co. and others.

Edwin O. Schiewe, Raymond K. Merrill, Thomas H. Ploss and Edward H. Foley, for appellee Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co.

In these cases probable jurisdiction noted. Cases consolidated and a total of four hours is allotted for oral argument for these appeals and any other appeal taken from the same judgment as to which jurisdiction may hereafter be noted.

Mr. Justice FORTAS took no part in the consideration or decision of this matter.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com