

Freed Vs. Baldi

Freed Vs. Baldi

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102463

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-13-1969

Appeal No. : 393 U.S. 317

Appellant : Freed

Respondent : Baldi

Judgement :

FREED v. BALDI - 393 U.S. 317 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court FREED v. BALDI, 393 U.S. 317 (1969) **393 U.S. 317**

FREED v. BALDI ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO.

No. 652.

Decided January 13, 1969.

___ Colo. ___, 443 P.2d 716, appeal dismissed.

George Louis Creamer for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

[393 U.S. 317](#) (1969) "> U.S. Supreme Court BENNETT v. COTTINGHAM, [393 U.S. 317](#) (1969) **393 U.S. 317**

BENNETT ET AL. v. COTTINGHAM ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA. No. 665.
Decided January 13, 1969.

290 F. Supp. 759, affirmed.

Jack Greenberg, Michael Meltsner, Melvyn Zarr, Oscar W. Adams, Jr., and Anthony G. Amsterdam for appellants.

MacDonald Gallion, Attorney General of Alabama, pro se, and Robert P. Bradley, Assistant Attorney General, for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is affirmed.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, and MR. JUSTICE BRENNAN are of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted.

Page 393 U.S. 317, 318