

Boyd Vs. Clark

Boyd Vs. Clark

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102462

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-13-1969

Appeal No. : 393 U.S. 316

Appellant : Boyd

Respondent : Clark

Judgement :

BOYD v. CLARK - 393 U.S. 316 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court BOYD v. CLARK, 393 U.S. 316 (1969) **393 U.S. 316**

BOYD ET AL. v. CLARK, ATTORNEY GENERAL, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. No. 490.

Decided January 13, 1969.

287 F. Supp. 561, affirmed.

Victor Rabinowitz and Leonard B. Boudin for appellants.

Solicitor General Griswold for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed, Clark v. Gabriel, ante, p. 256, without reaching the jurisdictional question raised under 28 U.S.C. 1331.

[393 U.S. 316](#) (1969) "> U.S. Supreme Court MARKHAM ADVERTISING CO., INC. v. WASHINGTON, [393 U.S. 316](#) (1969) **393 U.S. 316**

MARKHAM ADVERTISING CO., INC., ET AL. v. WASHINGTON ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON.

No. 648.

Decided January 13, 1969.

73 Wash. 2d 405, 439 P.2d 248, appeal dismissed.

Alfred J. Schweppe and Thomas R. Beierle for appellants.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 393 U.S. 316, 317