

Jones Vs. Lemond

Jones Vs. Lemond

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102267

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Sep-15-1969

Appeal No. : 396 U.S. 1227

Appellant : Jones

Respondent : Lemond

Judgement :

Jones v. Lemond - 396 U.S. 1227 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court Jones v. Lemond, 396 U.S. 1227 (1969)

Jones v. Lemond

Decided September 15, 1969

396 U.S. 1227

ON APPLICATION FOR STAY

SYLLABUS

Applicant, who had been court-martialed for unauthorized absence, and having exhausted all military administrative remedies, sought release by habeas corpus in the District Court, claiming that the improper processing of his application for

discharge from military service should have barred his conviction. A broad and sweeping stay was denied by the Court of Appeals. Pending disposition of applicant's appeal on the merits of this case, which involves the contention that the matter of conscientious objection is one of First Amendment proportions, a stay is granted directing that applicant be confined in "open restricted barracks" and not in the brig where, if his allegations are true, his life may be endangered.

See: 18 U.S.C.M.A. 513, 40 C.M.R. 225.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, Circuit Justice.

Applicant, who has been convicted by the military authorities for unauthorized absence, brought suit in the District Court for release by habeas corpus and for other ancillary relief. He apparently has exhausted all military administrative remedies, the Court of Military Appeals having denied him any relief.

His conflict with the Navy arose out of his desire to be discharged as a conscientious objector, a status he claims to have acquired some five months after his enlistment. Department of Defense Directive 1300.6, August 21, 1962, revised May 10, 1968, provides for processing such applications and states that pending decision on the application and "to the extent practicable," the applicant "will be employed in duties which involve the minimum conflict with his asserted beliefs."

According to the allegations, applicant made repeated attempts for 37 days to file and process his application

Page 396 U. S. 1228

for discharge as a conscientious objector and, if the allegations are sustained, was unable either to make a filing or obtain a hearing. He thereupon left his place of duty without authorization, thereafter surrendering himself. Once again, if his allegations are believed, he was unable to make a filing or obtain a hearing on his request for discharge as a conscientious objector. He thereupon escaped from Navy custody to obtain legal counsel, who surrendered him to Navy authorities while the conscientious objector application is pending.

The basic question of law is whether improper processing of an application for discharge as a conscientious objector is a defense to court-martial proceedings.

The question will, in time, be decided by the Court of Appeals or by the Supreme Court, as applicant has appealed from the dismissal of his petition by the District Court.

The issue tendered in this case -- and in others before the Supreme Court -- is that the matter of conscientious objection is of First Amendment dimensions whether based on religion, philosophy, or one's views of a particular "war" or armed conflict. Whether that view will obtain, no one as yet knows. But if it does, the question now tendered will be of great constitutional gravity.

I express no views on the merits. But I think a substantial question is presented. A stay of a broad and sweeping character has been denied by the Court of Appeals, and I would concur but for one circumstance. Confinement of applicant to the brig is apparently contemplated, and, again, if his allegations are believed, sending him there may endanger his life in view of the cruel regime which obtains in that prison.

Accordingly, I have decided to grant a stay directing respondents to confine applicant in the so-called "open restricted barracks" and restraining them from confining applicant in the brig, pending disposition of this appeal on the merits.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com