

Puryear Vs. Hogan

Puryear Vs. Hogan

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102265

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-13-1969

Appeal No. : 396 U.S. 11

Appellant : Puryear

Respondent : Hogan

Judgement :

PURYEAR v. HOGAN - 396 U.S. 11 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court PURYEAR v. HOGAN, 396 U.S. 11 (1969) **396 U.S. 11**

PURYEAR v. HOGAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF NEW YORK COUNTY, ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK

No. 336, Misc.

Decided October 13, 1969

24 N. Y. 2d 207, 247 N. E. 2d 260, appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Frank S. Hogan, pro se, and Michael R. Juviler for Hogan, and Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, pro se, Samuel A. Hirshowitz, First Assistant Attorney General, and Maria L. Marcus, Assistant Attorney General, for Lefkowitz, appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 396 U.S. 11, 12

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com