

Mcmann Vs. Ross

Mcmann Vs. Ross

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102235

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Dec-08-1969

Appeal No. : 396 U.S. 118

Appellant : Mcmann

Respondent : Ross

Judgement :

Mc MANN v. ROSS - 396 U.S. 118 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court Mc MANN v. ROSS, 396 U.S. 118 (1969) **396 U.S. 118**

Mc MANN, WARDEN, ET AL. v. ROSS ET AL.

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

No. 153.

Decided December 8, 1969

[409 F.2d 1016](#) , vacated and remanded as to respondent Ross.

Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, Samuel A. Hirshowitz, First Assistant Attorney General, and Lillian Z. Cohen and Brenda Soloff, Assistant Attorneys General, for petitioners.

Thomas D. Barr for respondent Ross.

Frank S. Hogan, pro se, and Michael R. Juviler for the District Attorney of New York County as amicus curiae urging reversal.

PER CURIAM.

Upon consideration of the suggestion of mootness by reason of the death of respondent Ross the judgment of the Court of Appeals, as to Ross, is vacated and the case as to him is remanded to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York with directions to dismiss the petition for writ of habeas corpus as moot.

Page 396 U.S. 118, 119

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com