

White Vs. Butler

White Vs. Butler

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/102181

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Dec-31-1969

Appeal No. : 171 U.S. 379

Appellant : White

Respondent : Butler

Judgement :

White v. Butler - 171 U.S. 379 (1969)

U.S. Supreme Court White v. Butler, 171 U.S. 379 (1898)

White v. Butler

Nos. 540-541

Argued March 21-22, 1898

Decided May 81, 1898

171 U.S. 379

APPEALS FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED

STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

SYLLABUS

White v. Berry, ante, [171 U. S. 366](#) , affirmed and followed.

The case is stated in the opinion.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN delivered the opinion of the Court.

Butler, the appellee in the first of the above cases, was a storekeeper of the United States at the Hannis distillery at Martinsburg, West Virginia.

Ruckman, the appellee in the second case, was also a storekeeper at the same distillery.

The bill in each case is substantially like that in *White v. Berry, ante*, just decided. The relief asked by Butler and Ruckman is the same as that asked by Berry, and the decree rendered in behalf of each was the same as that rendered in Berry's case.

For the reasons stated in the opinion just delivered in *White v. Berry*, the decree in each of the above cases must be

Reversed, and the causes remanded, with directions to dismiss the bills.