

Rosso Vs. Puerto Rico

Rosso Vs. Puerto Rico

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101891

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-14-1968

Appeal No. : 393 U.S. 14

Appellant : Rosso

Respondent : Puerto Rico

Judgement :

ROSSO v. PUERTO RICO - 393 U.S. 14 (1968)

U.S. Supreme Court ROSSO v. PUERTO RICO, 393 U.S. 14 (1968) **393 U.S. 14**

ROSSO ET UX. v. PUERTO RICO.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF PUERTO RICO.

No. 242.

Decided October 14, 1968.

___ P. R. R. ___, appeal dismissed.

Walter L. Newsom, Jr., and James B. Donovan for appellants.

Rafael A. Rivera-Cruz, Solicitor General of Puerto Rico, J. F. Rodriguez-Rivera, Deputy Solicitor General, and Peter Ortiz, Assistant Solicitor General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

MR. JUSTICE FORTAS took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

[393 U.S. 14](#) (1968) "> U.S. Supreme Court ROBERTS, JUDGE v. POLLARD, [393 U.S. 14](#) (1968) **393 U.S. 14**

ROBERTS, JUDGE, ET AL. v. POLLARD ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS. No. 251.
Decided October 14, 1968.

283 F. Supp. 248, affirmed.

Joe Purcell, Attorney General of Arkansas, and Don Langston, Deputy Attorney General, for appellants.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed.

MR. JUSTICE BLACK is of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted.

Page 393 U.S. 14, 15