

Nave Vs. City of Seattle

Nave Vs. City of Seattle

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101803

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-09-1967

Appeal No. : 385 U.S. 450

Appellant : Nave

Respondent : City of Seattle

Judgement :

NAVE v. CITY OF SEATTLE - 385 U.S. 450 (1967)

U.S. Supreme Court NAVE v. CITY OF SEATTLE, 385 U.S. 450 (1967) **385 U.S. 450**

NAVE v. CITY OF SEATTLE.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON.

No. 767.

Decided January 9, 1967.

68 Wash. 2d 72, 415 P.2d 93, appeal dismissed.

Footnotes [Footnote] ERRATA: "72" in "68 Wash. 2d 72" should be "721".

Appellant pro se.

A. L. Newbould for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 385 U.S. 450, 451

[385 U.S. 450](#) (1967) "> U.S. Supreme Court KIRKPATRICK v. PREISLER, [385 U.S. 450](#) (1967) **385 U.S. 450**

KIRKPATRICK, SECRETARY OF STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL. v. PREISLER ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF

MISSOURI. No. 738.

Decided January 9, 1967.

257 F. Supp. 953, affirmed.

Norman H. Anderson, Attorney General of Missouri, and J. Gordon Siddens and Thomas J. Downey, Assistant Attorneys General, for appellants.

Paul W. Preisler, pro se, and for other appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is affirmed.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN and MR. JUSTICE STEWART are of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted and the case set for oral argument.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com